



2026:CGHC:18570

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 3491 of 2026**

1 - Awadhesh Pandey S/o R.N. Pandey, Aged About 63 Years R/o-
Post- Pump Operator, Category-2, Filter Plant Kumda Co-Region,
Resident Of Bistrampur, Tahsil And District - Surajpur C.G.

... Petitioner**versus**

1 - South Eastern Coalfields Limited Through The Chairman Cum
Managin Director, Vasant Vihar, Seepat Road Sarkanda - Bilaspur
District Bilaspur C.G.

2 - The General Manager, (P And A) Vasant Vihar, Seepat Road
Sarkanda - Bilaspur District Bilaspur C.G.

3 - The Chief General Manager South Eastern Coalfields Limited,
Bishrampur Secl Area, District Surajpur C.G.

4 - Sub Area Manager, Kumda Sub Area, Bishrampur Coal Mines Area,
District Surajpur C.G.

5 - Director Personal Secl Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur C.G.

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title is taken from CIS)

For Petitioner : Ms. Somya Das, Advocate

For Respondents/SECL : Mr. Sharad Mishra, Advocate appears on

behalf of Mr. Shubham Bajpayee, Advocate

SB: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

23/04/2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-

“10.1. Issue appropriate writ, order to pay interest on arrears from due date (2016) till actual payment.

10.2. Additional interest for delay post notification (Oct 2024-Feb-2026), depending on reasonable rate depending on the Court's discretion.

10.3. For this act of kindness, the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

10.4. Revision and fixation of petition's pension and release of consequential benefits without further delay.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that petitioner is claiming interest on the arrears of the pay for the period of about 10 years. Petitioner has already submitted a representation before respondent No.5 for redressal of his grievance, however, same could not be decided till date and therefore, direction be issued to the respondent No.5 to consider and take decision on the representation filed by him at the earliest within a specific time frame.

3. Counsel for the respondent submits that he is appearing on advance copy and prays for time to file reply, however he submits that in view of the limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, he is having no objection.

4. On due consideration of the submission of learned counsel for respective parties, nature of grievance raised in this writ petition, the petitioner has already submitted representation before the respondent No.5 seeking the relief/s as prayed for in this writ petition which is pending consideration, I find it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition at this stage, directing the respondent No.5 to consider and take decision on the representation submitted by the petitioner in the objective manner in accordance with law, expeditiously preferably within further period of 3 months from the date of receipt of order. It is ordered accordingly.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge