



2026:CGHC:20133



2026:CGHC:20133

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****M.Cr.C No.3399 of 2026**

Munendra Dhruv S/o Dhanendra Dhruv Aged About 22 Years R/o
Ganga Nagar, PS Khamtarai, District- Raipur, (C.G.) ... **Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through PS Khamtarai, District - Raipur,
(C.G.) ... **Non-Applicant**

For Applicant	:Shri Akash Mishra, Advocate.
For Non-Applicant/State	:Shri Afroj Khan, PL.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Radhakishan Agrawal**Order on Board****30.04.2026**

1. This is the 1st bail application filed under Section 483 of the
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to
the Applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime



No.1230/2025 registered at Police Station – Khamtarai, District Raipur for the offence under Sections 137(2) and 64 of BNS and under Sections 4 & 6 of POCSO Act.

2. As per the prosecution story, the victim was lured on the pretext of marriage and thereafter, the Applicant committed sexual intercourse with her. Based on the aforesaid allegations, offences as mentioned above, have been registered against the present Applicant. Hence, this application.

3. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the Applicant and the victim were in a consensual love relationship, he is behind the bars since 13.12.2025 and the trial is likely to take quite some time for its conclusion, therefore, he may be enlarged on bail.

4. Per contra, learned Counsel for the State opposed the bail application and submitted that at the time of incident, the victim was 13 years and 11 months old and the Applicant has forcibly taken her away from the lawful custody of her parents.

5. Victim appeared from concerned DLSA along with her mother through Video Conferencing and raised objection with respect to granting bail to the Applicant.



6. Having considered the submissions made by learned Counsel for the parties and the facts and circumstances of the case, further considering the nature and gravity of offence and the court statement of the victim, without further commenting anything on the merits of the case, I am not inclined to release the Applicant on regular bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is **rejected**.

Sd/-

(Radhakishan Agrawal)
Judge