



2026:CGHC:25821

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No. 3686 of 2026

Ravishankar Jain S/o Late Shri Dehari Jain Aged About 26 Years R/o Village Kodekurse, Tehsil Durgkondal, Distt. Uttar Bastar, Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, P.S. Kodekurse, Distt. Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

(Cause title is taken from the CIS)

For Applicant	: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Shivendu Pandya, Advocate
For State-Respondent	: Mr. Aman Tamrakar, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Radhakishan Agrawal

Order on Board

24/06/2026

1. This is the **first** bail application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.05/2025 registered at Police Station Kodekurse, District Uttar Bastar Kanker (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 65(1), 64(2)(m) of BNS



Section 6 of the POCSO Act, 2012 and Section 3(2)(va) of the SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

2. The brief facts of this case is that the present applicant made sexual relationship with the prosecutrix by giving promise of marriage and on that basis, the prosecutrix lodged the report to the police station. On the basis of said report, aforesaid offence was registered against the applicant.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant contended that the applicant is an innocent and has been falsely implicated in the said case. He further contended that the applicant has not committed any offence even the victim was examined as PW-1 before the trial Court and in her statement vide Annexure A-3 has clearly stated that the applicant has not committed any offence and not established physical relationship with her. He further stated that as per statement of the prosecutrix, at the time of incident, her age was about 20 years and the applicant is in jail since 25.04.2025 and the trial will take considerable time for its conclusion, therefore, the applicant may be released on bail.
4. Learned State Counsel opposes the submission made by learned counsel for the applicant.
5. Pursuant to order dated 23.04.2026, victim appeared through virtual mode from the DLSA-Kanker. On being asked, she has no



objection for grant of bail to the applicant.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the statement of the prosecutrix recorded before the trial Court and further considering that the applicant is in custody since 25.04.2025 and that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take considerable time, without commenting on the merits of the case, this Court is inclined to release the applicant on bail.
8. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed** and it is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court. The applicant is directed to appear before the concerned trial Court on each and every date to be given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial. It is made clear that any observation made by this Court in this order shall not affect the trial of the case.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Radhakishan Agrawal)
Judge