



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRA No. 799 of 2025

1 - Mithun Urmal S/o Gangadhar Urmal, Aged About 26 Years,
R/o Narangpur, P.S. - Bhatli, District Bargarh Odisha

... Appellants

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S -Dongripali, District
Sarangarh-Bilaigarh Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent

Order Sheet

25/06/2025	Mr. Prahalad Panda, counsel for the appellant. Ms. Priya Sharma, P.L. for the State. Heard on I.A. No. 01/2025, which is an application under Section 430(2) of B.N.S.S. for suspension of sentence and grant of bail. By the impugned judgment dated 11.11.2024 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, FTSC (Pocso Act), Sarangarh, District-Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.) in Special Criminal Case No. 05/2020, the appellant has been convicted and sentenced as

mentioned below :

Conviction	Sentence
Under Section 363 of IPC	RI for 04 years and fine of Rs.500/-, in default of fine amount, R.I. for 02 months.
Under Section 366 of IPC	RI for 05 years and fine of Rs.500/-, in default of fine amount, R.I. for 02 months.
Under Section 4(1) of POCSO Act, 2012	RI for 10 years and fine of Rs.1000/-, in default of fine amount, R.I. for 01 year.

(All the sentences shall run concurrently)

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. It is further submitted that the victim was a consenting party and there is a discrepancy regarding her age. Additionally, it is contended that the Appellant was in jail since 28.05.2022 and subsequently since 11.11.2024 from the date of the judgment and disposal of this appeal is likely to take considerable time, therefore, he may be enlarged on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail and supported the impugned

judgment.

I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, material available on record, I do not find any good ground to release the appellant on bail.

Accordingly, I.A. No. 01/2025 is **rejected**.

List this case for final hearing.

Sd/-

(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge