



2026:CGHC:17204



2026:CGHC:17204

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 3375 of 2026

Samina Pardhi D/o Laldas Pardhi Aged About 47 Years R/o. Village Bahera (Kusmi), P.S. Bemetara, Distt.- Bemetara (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station Hathband, Distt.- Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	: Mr. Pragalbha Sharma, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	: Ms. Ankita Shukla, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

15.04.2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 41/2026 registered at Police Station – Hathband, District – Balodabazar – Bhatapara (C.G.) offence under Sections 4, 6, 10 and 11 of the Chhattisgarh Agricultural Cattle Preservation Act, 2004.
2. As per the prosecution story, in brief, the complainant, namely Kewal Sahu, alleged that on 11.02.2026, members of the Bajrang Dal received information regarding the transportation of cattle for the purpose of slaughter. Upon reaching the Mohbhatta-Kukrachunda road, they



allegedly saw five men and one woman driving 155 calves on foot towards a slaughterhouse. While the others fled from the spot, one Rajesh Sisodiya was apprehended on the spot. It is further alleged that, in his memorandum, the arrested accused disclosed the name of the present applicant, Samina Pardhi, along with others. On the basis of the said memorandum, the present applicant was implicated in the case, and a crime was registered against her at Police Station Hathband, vide Crime No. 41/2026, under Sections 4, 6, 10, and 11 of the Chhattisgarh Agricultural Cattle Preservation Act, 2004.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits the applicant has neither committed nor participated in any act that may amount to any of the offences mentioned above. He submits that the applicant is a public servant working as a Sahayika at Anganwadi Center No. 02 and was not present at the scene of the alleged offence. The applicant's name has been implicated solely on the basis of the memorandum statement of the co-accused, Rajesh Sisodiya. He submits that no cattle or any incriminating material has been recovered from the physical possession of the applicant. The applicant is a 47-year-old woman and the sole breadwinner of her family, which includes minor children dependent on her. He submits that the present applicant has no criminal antecedents and she is in jail since 20.03.2026, conclusion of the trial may take sometime, therefore, he prays for grant of regular bail to the applicant.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the charge-sheet has already been submitted before the competent Court in the present case.
5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the



case diary.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, as the present applicant being a lady has no criminal antecedents, further, the charge-sheet has been submitted before the competent Court in the present case and she is in jail since 20.03.2026, the trial is likely to take sometime for its conclusion, therefore, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case.
7. Let the Applicant – **Nadeem Kuraishi**, involved in Crime No. 255/2023 registered at Police Station – Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (C.G.) offence under Sections 4, 6, 10, of the Agricultural Cattle Preservation Act & Section 11(1)(a) and 11(1)(d) of Cruelty of Animals Act, be released on bail on furnishing **personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-
 - (i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that she shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.
 - (ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through her counsel. In case of her absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against her under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
 - (iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure her presence,



proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against her, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against her in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice