



2026:CGHC:16775



2026:CGHC:16775

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 3184 of 2026**

1 - Ramshila Bai W/o Gannu Das Tandiya Aged About 62 Years R/o Ward No. 8, Gangrel, Rsp Colony, Gangrel District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

2 - Kumari Bai Meshram W/o Ghasi Ram Meshram Aged About 62 Years R/o Ward No. 1, Siyadehi Po- Aroud, Dhamtari, District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

3 - Ramkumar Sahu S/o Late Ramsundar Sahu Aged About 62 Years R/o Ward No. 6, Gangrel, Rsp Colony, Gangrel District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

4 - Sonsir Bai W/o Sadhu Ram Aged About 62 Years R/o Ward No. 8, Gangrel, Rsp Colony, Gangrel District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

5 - Sant Ram Gond S/o Late Sonu Ram Aged About 62 Years R/o Ward No. 1, Bhothapara (Rayat) Dhamtari District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

6 - Mahesh Kumar Ganjir S/o Late Brijlal Ganjir Aged About 71 Years R/o Ward No. 1, Gangrel, Rsp Colony, Gangrel District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

7 - Radha Bai Wd/o Late Devlal Dhakade Aged About 60 Years R/o Ward No. 5, Bhatgaon Post Office Bhatgaon District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

8 - Dukhiya Bai Wd/o Late Bala Ram Aged About 60 Years R/o Ward No. 3, Vtc Dokal Post Office Keregaon Dhamtari District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

... Petitioner**Versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Water Resource, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)



2 - Executive Engineer Department Of Water Resource Division Rudri , District-Dhamtari (C.G.)

3 - Sub Divisional Officer , M.J.P. Dam Sub Division No. 1 Gangrel, Dhamtari District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Shobit Koshta, Advocate

For Respondents-State : Mr. Love Sharma, Panel Lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

13/04/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees are entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioners. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. Vs. State of C.G., in W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021 decided on 30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioners may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel** (supra) and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners expeditiously within specified time.
2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioners is not pressing this petition on merits and are only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners, at this stage, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.



4. Taking into consideration the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioners to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioners submit representation, their claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representations keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed off with aforesaid observation and direction.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey