



2026:CGHC:16776



2026:CGHC:16776

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3162 of 2026

1 - Sunita Yadav W/o Bal Kishun Yadav Aged About 44 Years (Legal Heirs Of Late Smt. Visun Bai Yadav Mechanical Helper) At Executive Engineer Public Works Department (E And M) Division Ambikapur District- Surguja (C.G.) R/o Ward No 47, Gangapur Khurd Tahsil Ambikapur District- Surguja (C.G.)

... Petitioner

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Department Of Public Works Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan New Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Engineer In Chief Department Of Public Works Nirman Bhawan North Block- Naya Raipur (C.G.)

3 - Chief Engineer Public Works Department (E And M) Bilaspur District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

4 - Executive Engineer Public Works Department (E And M) Division Ambikapur District- Surguja (C.G.)

5 - Joint Director Treasury Account And Pension Ambikapur District- Surguja (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Prabhu Lal, Advocate

For Respondents-State : Mr. Aditya Tiwari, Panel Lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

13/04/2026



1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employee is entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioner. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. Vs. State of C.G., in W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021 decided on 30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioner may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel** (supra) and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner expeditiously within specified time.
2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioner is not pressing this petition on merits and are only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner, at this stage, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioner to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioner submits representation, his claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.



5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed off with aforesaid observation and direction.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

Judge

Dey