



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRA No. 978 of 2026

- Trishal @ Prince Dubey, S/o Praful Dubey, aged about 24 Years, R/o Daldal Seoni, Near Ekta Chowk, P/S Pandri, Raipur, District Raipur, C.G.

...Appellant

versus

- State of Chhattisgarh, Through SHO, P/S Pandri District Raipur, C.G.

... Respondent

15/06/2026	Mr. Lukesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate for the Appellant. Mr. Ram Narayan Sahu, Deputy G.A. for the State. Heard on admission. Admit. Record of the trial Court has already been received. Also heard on I.A. No.01/2026, application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail to the appellant under Section 430 of BNSS. By the impugned judgment dated 28.03.2026 passed by the learned 6th Additional Sessions Judge, District Raipur, C.G., in Sessions Trial No. 180/2021, the appellant

has been convicted for the offences punishable under Sections 307/34 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, "IPC") and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for seven years with a fine of Rs. 1,000/-, and in default of payment of fine, to undergo simple imprisonment for two months for the offence under Section 307/34 of IPC, and further sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for one year with a fine of Rs. 500/-, and in default of payment of fine, to undergo simple imprisonment for one month for the offence under Section 201 of IPC, with a direction that both the sentences shall run concurrently.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the learned Trial Court has not properly appreciated the evidence available on record while holding the appellant guilty. He further submits that he was in jail from 07.10.2020 to 04.02.2021. He also submits that during the trial, the appellant was on bail and did not misuse the liberty granted to him, and that after the passing of the impugned judgment, he is in jail. It is also submitted that the injuries sustained by the victim are simple in nature and that the final disposal of the appeal is likely to take some time. Therefore, it is prayed that the substantive jail sentence of the appellant be

suspended till the final disposal of the appeal.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the detention period of the appellant and further considering the fact that during the trial, the appellant was on bail and did not misuse the liberty granted to him and that disposal of this appeal is likely to take some time, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that present is a fit case to suspend the jail sentence imposed upon the appellant and to release him on bail.

Accordingly, the application (I.A. No. 01/2026) is allowed. It is directed that the substantive jail sentence imposed upon the appellant shall remain suspended till final disposal of this case on his executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety for the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court for his appearance before the Registry of this Court on 10th August, 2026. He shall thereafter appear before the concerned trial Court on a date to be given by the Registry of this Court and thereafter, continue to appear before the concerned trial Court on all such subsequent dates as are given to him by the said Court

<i>Priyanka</i>	till disposal of this appeal. List this case for final hearing in due course. Sd/- (Radhakishan Agrawal) Judge
-----------------	--