



2026:CGHC:18735



2026:CGHC:18735

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3163 of 2026

1 - Shaimun Wd/o Late Shahid Shah Aged About 56 Years R/o Village- Chumra,
P.S. Ramanujganj, Tahsil- Ramanujganj, District- Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... **Petitioner**

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary, Water Resources Department,
Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Executive Engineer Water Resources Department, Division Baikunthpur, District-
Koriya (C.G.)

3 - Divisional Joint Director Treasury, Account And Pension, Ambikapur, District-
Surguja (C.G.)

4 - Smt. Mariyam Begam Wd/o Late Shahid Shah Aged About 52 Years R/o Village -
Chumra, P.S. Ramanujganj, Tahsil- Ramanujganj, District- Balrampur-Ramanujganj
(C.G.)

... **Respondents**

For Petitioner : Mr. V.K. Pandey, Advocate

For Respondents-State : Mr. Hariom Rai, Panel Lawyer

SB: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

23/04/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-

*"10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be
pleased to notices to the respondents returnable
within early date of hearing.*



10.2 This Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the Respondents No. 2 & 3 to fix the family pension on favour of the petitioner within stipulated period.

10.3 That this Hon'ble court may kindly be pleased to direct the Respondents No. 2 & 3 to consider and decide the representation moved by the petitioner in accordance with law within stipulated period.

10.4 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to grant any other relief(s)/order(s)/direction(s) in favour of the petitioner, which deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice.

10.5 Cost of the petition"

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not being paid the death-cum-retiral dues after the death of her husband against which, petitioner has also submitted representation dated 25.05.2022 (Annexure P/2) which till date is not considered and decided and therefore, direction be issued to the concerned authority to consider and take decision on the representation at the earliest within specified time.
3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the Respondents/State would submit that as petitioner is not pressing this writ petition on merits, he is having no objection to the limited prayer. He however, submits that the representation is of more than 3 ½ years.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents placed on record.
5. On due consideration of the submission of counsel for the respective parties, facts and circumstances of the case, nature of grievance raised by the petitioner, this writ petition at this stage is disposed of permitting the petitioner to submit fresh comprehensive representation before the Respondents No. 2 & 3 with all supporting documents and if such a representation is submitted by the petitioner, concerned authority shall consider and take decision on the representation to be submitted by the petitioner in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period



of 04 months from the date of receipt of representation after giving
opportunity of hearing of the Respondent No. 4 herein also.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ petition stands
disposed of.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey