



2026:CGHC:17078



2026:CGHC:17078

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 3247 of 2026**

1 - Toman Singh Thakur S/o Kanhaiya Singh Aged About 62 Years Retired Field Assistant, R/o Village Pacheda, Post Dhurkot, Tahsil Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh

2 - Ramprasad Sahu S/o Mahettar Lal Sahu Aged About 62 Years Assistant Fitter, R/o Ward No. 20, Sadakpara Loharsi, Tahsil Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh

... Petitioners**Versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Water Resources, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - Engineer-In-Chief Water Resources Department, Sihawa Bhawan Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - Chief Engineer Hasdeo Nahar Jal Prabandhan Sambhag, Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh

4 - Executive Engineer Hasdeo Nahar Jal Prabandhan Sambhag, Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh

5 - Joint Director Treasury, Accounts And Pension, Bilaspur Division, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioners : Mr. Rupendra Kumar Dewangan, Advocate



For Respondents-State : Ms. Sakshi Bajpai, Panel Lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

15/04/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees are entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioners. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. Vs. State of C.G., in W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021 decided on 30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioners may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel** (supra) and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners expeditiously within specified time.
2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioners is not pressing this petition on merits and are only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners, at this stage, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioners to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioners submit representation, their claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three



months from the date of receipt of representations keeping in mind
decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.

5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed off with aforesaid observation and
direction.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

Judge

Dey