



2026:CGHC:18180



2026:CGHC:18180

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 1846 of 2026

Pawan Singh S/o. Late Deep Narayan Singh Aged About 44 Years R/o. Mohalla Dabripani, Village Bandhiyachuwan, Tahsil Ambikapur District- Surguja (C.G.)

... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, New Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - The Secretary Department Of Forest, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)

3 - The Collector District- Surguja Ambikapur (C.G.)

4 - The Division Forest Officer Forest Division Ambikapur, District- Surguja (C.G.)

5 - The Sub Divisional Officer (Forest) Sub Division- Ambikapur District Surguja (C.G.)

6 - The Sub Divisional Officer (Rev.) Sub Division- Ambikapur District- Surguja (C.G.)

7 - The Forest Range Officer Forest Range- Ambikapur District- Surguja (C.G.)

... **Respondent(s)**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. A.N. Bhakta and Mr. Vivek Bhakta, Advocates
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Nayak and Mr. Anadi Sharma, Panel Lawyers.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi

Order On Board

21-4-2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking following reliefs :-



10.1 That the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to call for the entire records of the case from the authorities concerned for its kind perusal.

10.2 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the Respondent authorities (Specially Forest Department) to decide the pending applications dated 31.03.2011 (Ann P-1), 29.05.2011 (Ann P-2), and 16.04.2018 (Ann P-3), within stipulated period, in the interest of justice.

10.3 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to pass any other relief, which this Hon'ble High Court deems fit and proper be also awarded.

2. After arguing for some times, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to file better constituted writ petition.
3. Learned counsel for the State has no objection to allow above limited prayer.
4. Considering the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of at this stage, with the liberty to the petitioner as sought for.
5. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge