



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SA No. 310 of 2017

Ved Kumar **versus** Anandi Ram

Order sheet

<u>10/11/2025</u>	Mr. Ashok Kumar Shukla, Advocate for the appellants. Mr. Kalpesh Ruparel, Panel Lawyer for the State/ respondent No.2. Heard on I.A. No. 7 of 2025, which is an application under Order 22 Rule 3 of CPC for substitution of legal representative(s) of appellant No.2. Also heard on I.A. No. 8 of 2023, which is an application seeking condonation of delay under Section 5 of Limitation Act. Learned counsel for the appellants would submit that, the appellant No.2- Amiran has died on 02.02.2018. The appellant No.1 is one of the legal representatives of the appellant No.2. The appellant No.2 is having other legal representatives, which are the necessary parties to the appeal, and therefore, he has filed the application (I.A. No. 7

Digitally
signed by
VED
PRAKASH
DEWANGAN
Date:
2025.11.11
11:38:01
+0530

of 2025) for substitution of his other legal representatives.

On due consideration, the application (I.A. No. 7 of 2025) is allowed. Learned counsel for the appellant No.1 is permitted to carry out the substitution of other legal representatives of appellant No.2 in the cause title of the appeal memo within 10 days from today.

In view of the fact that, the application under Order 22 Rule 3 of CPC has been allowed, the application (I.A. No. 8 of 2025) is disposed of.

List this case thereafter.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge