



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

ACQA No. 125 of 2022

STATE OF CHHATTISGARH **versus** PUJA ADHIKARI & ORS.

10/04/2026	Shri Dharmesh Shrivastava, Dy. Advocate General for the State/appellant. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that due to inadvertence, it was stated by him on 17/03/2026 that the accused persons namely, Tapas Sengupta, Amit Kumar Agrawal and Geeta Sonwani have not preferred any appeal against their conviction but, subsequently it was revealed that they have preferred the revisions namely, Criminal Revision No.638/2014 (Geeta Sonwani vs. State), Criminal Revision No.658/2014 (Tapas Sen Gupta vs. State) and Criminal Revision No.696/2014 (Amit Kumar Agrawal vs. State) and the same have been dismissed by way of the common order dated 23/12/2014 and, the said fact has been brought on record, along with the said common order dated 23/12/2014 as Annexure-A/1 by way of affidavit dated 09/04/2026 of one Pratik Chaturvedi, the City Superintendent of Police, Korba (C.G.).
-------------------	---

Kamde	In view of the aforesaid information submitted by way of affidavit, it appears that due to inadvertence, the information has been furnished incorrectly on 17/03/2026. Perusal of the record would show that vide order dated 29/04/2022 notices were issued to the respondents No.1 to 3, but the same are still reported to be unserved. Let fresh notice be issued to the respondents, as ordered earlier, on payment of process fee, as per rules. Post this matter after five weeks for further orders. Sd/- (Sanjay S. Agrawal) Judge
-------	---