

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 834 of 2016**

Manish Agrawal **versus** State Of Chhattisgarh & Ors.

Alongwith

WPC No. 831 of 2016; WPC No. 832 of 2016; WPC No. 101 of 2017 &

WPC No. 112 of 2017

Order on Board

23/02/2026	<u>WPC No. 832 of 2016:</u> Mr. Anand Shukla and Mr. Parag Kotecha, Advocates for the respective petitioners. Mr. Akhilesh Kumar, Government Advocate with Ms. Pallavi Das, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard on I.A. No. 03/2024, application for substitution of legal representatives of respondent No. 5. Mr. Anand Shukla, learned appearing for the petitioner would submit that during pendency of this petition, respondent No. 5 namely Puroshottam Yadav died and right to sue survives through his legal representatives. He would



contend that notices were issued to his legal representatives on application for substitution of legal representatives. He would pray to allow the said application.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents/State would oppose.

Heard.

Despite service of notice on respondents No. 5a to 5e, who are legal representatives of late Puroshottam Yadav, there is no representation. Accordingly, I.A. No. 03/2024 is hereby allowed.

Mr. Shukla is granted time upto 27.02.2026 to carry out necessary amendment.

Call this matter alongwith WPC No. 834 of 2016; WPC No. 831 of 2016; WPC No. 101 of 2017 & WPC No. 112 of 2017 on **28/02/2026**.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge