



2026:CGHC:10511

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 834 of 2016**

Manish Agrawal S/o Om Prakash Agrawal, Aged About 31 Years
 R/o Village Nipaniya, Tehsil And Block Bilha, District Bilaspur,
 Chhattisgarh **--- Petitioner**

versus

- 1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Collector, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
- 2** - Tehsildar, Tehsil Bilha, Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, Tahsil Podi-Uroda, District Korba Chhattisgarh
- 3** - Sub Registrar, Bilha, Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
- 4** - Board Of Revenue, Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
- 5** - Kashiram Yadav, S/o Bhanwar Singh, Aged About 57 Years R/o Dhaurabhata, Tehsil Bilha, Distt Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

--- Respondents**And****WPC No. 831 of 2016**

Manish Agrawal S/o Om Prakash Agrawal, Aged About 31 Years
 R/o Village Nipaniya, Tehsil And Block - Bilha, District Bilaspur
 Chhattisgarh **---Petitioner**

Versus



1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Collector, Bilaspur District
Bilaspur , Chhattisgarh

2 - Tahsildar, Tehsil Bilha, District Bilaspur Tahsil Podi - Uproda,
District Korba Chhattisgarh

3 - Sub Registrar, Bilha, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

4 - Board Of Revenue, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

5 - Prahlad Yadav, S/o Bhaktu Yadav, Aged About 44 Years R/o
Village Dhourabhatha, Tehsil And Block - Bihla, District Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh

--- Respondents

And

WPC No. 832 of 2016

Manish Agrawal S/o Om Prakash Agrawal, Aged About 31 Years
R/o Village Nipaniya, Tehsil And Block Bilha, District Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh

---Petitioner

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Collector, Bilaspur, District
Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

2 - Tehsildar, Tehsil- Bilha, Distt Bilaspur, Tahsil Podi- Uproda,
District Korba Chhattisgarh

3 - Sub Registrar, Bilha, Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

4 - Board Of Revenue, Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

5 - Puroshottam Yadav, (Died) Through Lrs As Per Hon'ble Court
Order Dated 23-02-2026

5.1 - (A) Budhwara Bai W/o Late Purshottam Yadav Aged About 70
Years R/o Dhaurabhata Tahsil Bilha District - Bilaspur (C.G.)

5.2 - (B) Rahi Yadav D/o Late Purshottam Yadav Aged About 50
Years R/o Dhaurabhata Tahsil Bilha District - Bilaspur (C.G.)



5.3 - (C) Lakhan Yadav S/o Late Purshottam Yadav Aged About 48 Years R/o Dhaurabhata Tahsil Bilha District - Bilaspur (C.G.)

5.4 - (D) Rukmani Yadav D/o Late Purshottam Yadav Aged About 46 Years R/o Dhaurabhata Tahsil Bilha District - Bilaspur (C.G.)

5.5 - (E) Sahodra Yadav D/o Late Purshottam Yadav Aged About 43 Years R/o Dhaurabhata Tahsil Bilha District - Bilaspur (C.G.)

--- Respondents

And

WPC No. 112 of 2017

1 - Rajmati Yadav Wd/o Rajkumar, Aged About 41 Years Through Power Of Attorney Holder Rajmati Bai, R/o Village Dhaurabhata, Block And Tehsil Bilha, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

2 - Sawan Bai, D/o Mangal, Aged About 54 Years Through Power Of Attorney Holder Rajmati Bai, R/o Village Dhaurabhata, Block And Tehsil Bilha, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

3 - Kuwarmati Bai, D/o Mangal, Aged About 54 Years Through Power Of Attorney Holder Rajmati Bai, R/o Village Dhaurabhata, Block And Tehsil Bilha, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

4 - Rajkumari, D/o Mangal, Aged About 52 Years Through Power Of Attorney Holder Rajmati Bai, R/o Village Dhaurabhata, Block And Tehsil Bilha, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

5 - Purain Bai, D/o Mangal, Aged About 41 Years Through Power Of Attorney Holder Rajmati Bai, R/o Village Dhaurabhata, Block And Tehsil Bilha, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

6 - Rajim Bai, D/o Mangal, Aged About 43 Years Through Power Of Attorney Holder Rajmati Bai, R/o Village Dhaurabhata, Block And Tehsil Bilha, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

7 - Dinesh Yadav Minor, S/o Rajkumar, Through His Natural Guardian Namely Smt. Rajmati Bai, Represented Through Power



Of Attorney Holder Rajmati Bai, R/o Village Dhaurabhata, Block
And Tehsil Bilha, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

8 - Dilesh Yadav (Minor) S/o Rajkumar, Through His Natural
Guardian Namely Smt. Rajmati Bai, Represented Through Power
Of Attorney Holder Rajmati Bai, R/o Village Dhaurabhata, Block
And Tehsil Bilha, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh ---**Petitioners**

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Collector, Bilaspur, District
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

2 - Tahsildar, Tehsil Bilha, Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, Tahsil Podi-
Uroda, District Korba Chhattisgarh

3 - Sub Registrar, Bilha, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

4 - Board Of Revenue, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

5 - Manish Agrawal S/o Om Prakash Agrawal, Aged About 33
Years R/o Village Nipaniya, Tehsil And Block Bilha, District Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh --- **Respondents**

And

WPC No. 101 of 2017

Ramnath Yadav S/o Bhaktu Yadav, Aged About 40 Years R/o
Village Dhaurabhata, Tehsil Bilha Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---**Petitioner**

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Collector, Bilaspur, District
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

2 - Tahsildar, Tehsil Bilha, Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, Tahsil Podi-
Uroda, District Korba Chhattisgarh

3 - Sub Registrar, Bilha, Distt. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh



4 - Board Of Revenue, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh,

5 - Manish Agrawal S/o Om Prakash Agrawal, Aged About 33
Years R/o Village Nipaniya, Tehsil And Block Bilha, District Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh

--- Respondents

For respective Petitioners : Shri Anand Shukla and Shri Parag
Kotecha, Advocates.

For Respondents/State : Shri Akhilesh Kumar, G.A along with
Ms. Pallavi Das, PL.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

28.02.2026

1. Mr. Anand Shukla, learned Counsel appearing for the
Petitioners in W.P.(C) No. 834/2026; 831/2016 and 832/2016,
seeks permission of this Court to withdraw these Writ Petitions
with liberty to file a duly constituted civil suit claiming title,
injunction and if required, possession before the competent Civil
Court.

2. Learned Counsel for the State does not oppose the said
prayer.

3. Permission is granted.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petitions (W.P.(C) No. 834/2026;
831/2016 and 832/2016) stand **dismissed as withdrawn**. The
Petitioners shall be at liberty to file a duly constituted civil suit as
prayed for.



5. In W.P.(C) Nos. 101/2017 and 112/2017, Mr. Parag Kotecha, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners, would submit that the Board of Revenue had granted liberty to some of the land owners to move an application under Section 165(6)(2) of the CG Land Revenue Code seeking permission to execute the sale deed. He would contend that the Petitioners of W.P.(C) Nos. 101/2017 and 112/2017 may be granted to similar liberty.

6. Learned counsel appearing for the State would oppose.

7. The Petitioners have not placed copy of order passed by the Board of Revenue whereby liberty was granted to move application under Section 165(6)(2) of the CG Land Revenue Code, but in the interest of justice, the Petitioners are permitted to withdraw both the petitions with liberty to move application 165(6)(2) of the CG Land Revenue Code.

8. Accordingly, both petitions (W.P.(C) Nos. 101/2017 and 112/2017) are hereby **disposed of**. The authority concerned would be at liberty to decide the application, if moved, in strictly in accordance with law.

9. This Court has not expressed any opinion on the merit of the case.

Sd/-
(**Rakesh Mohan Pandey**)
JUDGE