



2026:CGHC:17083



2026:CGHC:17083

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 3237 of 2026**

- 1 - Nandkumar Pradhan S/o Shri Surendra Pradhan Aged About 35 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Pre-Metric Schedule Caste Boys Hostel, Sariya, Baramkela, Block Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 2 - Lakshman Kumar Miri S/o Shri Hemraj Miri Aged About 36 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Pre-Metric Schedule Caste Boys Hostel Gobarsingha, Block Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 3 - Mahendra Kumar Bareth S/o Shri Birsigh Bareth Aged About 40 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Schedule Tribe Boys Ashram, Dhauradarha Baramkela, Block Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 4 - Nimesh Sahu S/o Shri Satyanarayan Sahu Aged About 41 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Schedule Tribe Boys Ashram Dhouradarha, Block Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 5 - Basudev Pradhan S/o Shri Ghanshyam Pradhan Aged About 35 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Scheduled Tribe Boys Ashram Sankra, Block Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 6 - Pankajni Pradhan D/o Shri Uttam Pradhan Aged About 37 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Pre-Metric Scheduled Tribe Girls Hostel, Baramkela Block Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 7 - Sarojinee Garhtiya D/o Laikhan Garhtiya Aged About 36 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Pre-Metric Scheduled Tribe Girls Hostel, Baramkela, Block Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 8 - Chandrakanti Pradhan D/o Bheema Pradhan Aged About 44 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Pre Metric Schedule Tribe Girls Hostel Lendhra, Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 9 - Dashrath Sidar S/o Dhuru Sidar Aged About 51 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Pre Metric Schedule Caste Boys Hostel Birnipali, Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 10 - Raj Kumar Koshle S/o Shri Samarulal Koshle Aged About 37 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Schedule Caste Tribe Boys Ashram Katekoni, Sarangarh Block Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**



**11** - Birsingh Sahu S/o Shri Lakshmi Prasad Sahu Aged About 38 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Boys Ashram Malda (A), Sarangarh Block Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**12** - Raghunath Sidar S/o Shri Balbhadra Sidar Aged About 42 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Pre-Metric Schedule Caste Boys Hostel Gobarsingha, Block Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**13** - Sumitra Sahu D/o Shri Chandramani Sahu Aged About 36 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Pre Metric Schedule Tribes Girls Hostel Salheona, Block Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**14** - Sulochna Sidar W/o Shri Markande Sidar Aged About 39 Years Working As Peon And Posted Pre Metric Schedule Tribe At Girls Hostel Salheona, Block Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**15** - Saraswati Sidar D/o Balbhadra Sidar Aged About 37 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Pre-Metric Schedule Tribes Girls Hostel Salheona, Block Baramkela, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**16** - Sukrita Lahare D/o Shri Panchram Lahare Aged About 35 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Pre-Metric Schedule Tribe Girls Hostel Kedar, Block Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**... Petitioner(s)**

**versus**

**1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Tribal Welfare, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

**2** - Commissioner Department Of Tribal Welfare University Campus, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

**3** - District Collector Sarangarh-Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**4** - Assistant Commissioner Tribal Welfare Department, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

**... Respondent(s)**

|                      |   |                                   |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For Petitioner       | : | Mr. Chandrasen Chouhan, Advocate. |
| For Respondent/State | : | Mr. Suyashdhar Badgaiya, G.A.     |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu**  
**Order on Board**

**15/04/2026**

1. Learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioners submits that vide appointment order dated 24.07.2013 (Annexure P-2), the Petitioners were appointed as contingency paid Class-IV employees. Pursuant to order passed by this Court in Writ Appeal No.481/2015 (Prakash



Dansena and others Vs. The State of Chhattisgarh and others and other bunch of Writ Appeals) decided on 03.10.2018, the Respondents passed impugned order dated 20.09.2021 (Annexure P-1) and granted the pay scale of Rs. 4750- 7440 + 1300 (Grade Pay) w.e.f. 2016 to the Petitioners who were completed three years of services from the date of their joining and now the Petitioners are getting salary accordingly. The Counsel further submits that though the Petitioners are getting salary as per order dated 20.09.2021 and 19.05.2022 but, they are also entitled to get arrears from the year 2016 to 2021. For that, the Petitioners have made several representations before the authorities, but the same have not been decided till date. Therefore, it is prayed that the instant petition may be disposed of giving liberty to the Petitioners to file a fresh representation before Respondent/competent authority raising all grounds which they raised in the instant petition and after filing such representation, Respondent/competent authority may be directed to consider and decide the same expeditiously and any outer limit may also be fixed by this Court for the aforementioned purpose.

2. Learned Counsel appearing for the State not opposes the limited prayer made by learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioners.
3. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.
4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of Counsel appearing for the parties, without entering into the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of giving liberty to the Petitioners to file a fresh representation before Respondent/competent authority raising all grounds which they mentioned in the instant petition within 'three weeks' from today and after filing such representation, Respondent/competent authority is directed to consider and decide the same expeditiously preferably within '45 days' from the date of receipt of such representation in accordance with relevant rules and law.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-  
**(Parth Prateem Sahu)**  
Judge