



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRA No. 1032 of 2026

MANOJ MARKAM *versus* STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Order Sheet

22.04.2026	Heard Mr. Bishnu Muni, learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Shailendra Sharma, learned Panel Lawyer, appearing for the State/respondent. The case has been listed in default due to defects, namely that the particulars of respondent No.1 have not been properly mentioned in the cause title (page 8), the contents relating to PW-13 have not been properly reflected in the 8th serial number of the synopsis (page 17), and proper pagination has not been done in the petition part of the file. Admit. Since Mr. Shailendra Sharma, learned Panel Lawyer, has already appeared on behalf of the respondent/State, issuance of fresh notice to the respondent is dispensed with.

The State is directed to file its reply/objection within a period of two weeks, and shall also inform this Court about the criminal antecedents of the appellant, if any.

Learned State counsel is also directed to inform the father of the victim (PW-2) regarding pendency of the appeal revealing that he/she can appear on the date fixed and object the prayer made in the criminal appeal through his/her counsel.

The Trial Court Record be summoned within a period of six weeks.

Let the paper-book be filed in accordance with the High Court Rules, 2007.

List this matter thereafter.

In the meanwhile, the defects pointed out by the Registry be cured.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice