



2026:CGHC:17747

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3400 of 2026

1. Jagannath Ekka S/o Khasaru Ram Aged About 62 Years R/o House No. 179/a, Bathuabuda, Bakirama, Tahsil- Ambikapur, Distt.- Surguja (C.G.) (Employee Claiming His Leave Encashment)
2. Bachchh Raj Ahirwar S/o Sonai Ram Ahirwar Aged About 62 Years R/o Ward No. 10, Fundurdihari, Ambikapur, Distt.- Surguja (C.G.) (Employee Claiming His Leave Encashment)

... Petitioners

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Water Resource, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Secretary Department Of General Administration, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Secretary Department Of Finance, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)
4. Engineer-In-Chief Water Resource Department, Shivnath Bhawan, Sector-19, Naya Raipur, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)
5. Chief Engineer Minimata Hasdeo Bango Project, Water Resource Department, Bilaspur (C.G.)
6. Superintendent Engineer Water Resource Circle, Wrd, Bilaspur, Distt.- Bilaspur (C.G.)
7. Executive Engineer E/m Heavy Machinery Division, Wrd, Sakri, Bilaspur, Distt.- Bilaspur, (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Ms. Akanksha Singh, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Ms. Anuja Sharma, Dy. Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

20/04/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees, are entitled for



leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioners. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. vs. State of C.G.**, in **W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021** decided on **30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners therein have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. She submits that at this stage, petitioners may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)** and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners expeditiously within specified time.

2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioners are not pressing this petition on merits and are only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners, she is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration, the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioners to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioners submit representation, their claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of **three months** from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE