



2026:CGHC:19926

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 3278 of 2026

Lalit Dewangan S/o Dharmendra Dewangan Aged About 21 Years, Resident of Near Bijali Office Mohalla Changorabhatha, P.S. D.D. Nagar, Raipur, Tahsil and District Raipur, Chhattisgarh,

... Applicant

versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Abhanpur, District Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent

For Applicant	: Mr. Rekhraj Baghel, Advocate
For Respondent/State	: Ms. Laxmin Kashyap, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Radhakishan Agrawal

Order on Board

29/04/2026

1. This is first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 for grant of regular bail to applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.467/2025, registered at PS- Abhanpur, District- Raipur (C.G.) for commission of offence punishable under Sections 64(2)(ड), 65(1) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 6 of POCSO Act.
2. Case of prosecution in brief, is that the complainant (mother of the victim) lodged a report at the concerned police station alleging that the present applicant committed sexual intercourse with the victim on the pretext of marriage. On the basis of the said complaint, the police registered an

offence against the applicant for the offences punishable under Sections 64(2)(ॐ) and 65(1) of the BNS and Section 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. In compliance of order dated 10.04.2026 and 15.04.2026, the victim is present along with her mother through video conferencing from the concerned DLSA, and on being asked, they have raised 'objection' to the application filed by the applicant for grant of bail.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the victim has not supported the case of the prosecution and has turned hostile. He further submits that applicant is in jail since 28.12.2025 and trial is likely to take some time, therefore, it is prayed that applicant may be enlarged on bail.
5. Learned State Counsel opposes the bail application filed by the applicant. She further submits that victim is a minor girl aged about 12 years old. She further submits that the applicant has two previous criminal antecedents.
6. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of the offence, objection raised by the victim and her parents and other materials available in the case diary, without further commenting on merits of the case, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant.
8. Accordingly, the present bail application is rejected.

Sd/-

(Radhakishan Agrawal)
Judge