



2026:CGHC:17757

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3372 of 2026

Reena Sahu W/o Shri Arun Kumar Sahu Aged About 38 Years Presently
Posted As Lecturer Government Higher Secondary School Kanya Parisar
Ambikapur District- Surguja (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

- 1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Department Of School
Education Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar Naya Raipur District- Raipur (C.G.)
- 2** - Director Directorate Of Public Instruction (Dpi) Through Its Director
Indravati Bhawan Naya Raipur District- Raipur (C.G.)
- 3** - Collector District- Surguja (C.G.)
- 4** - Joint Director Division Surguja District- Surguja (C.G.)
- 5** - District Education Officer District- Surguja (C.G.)
- 6** - Smt Manorama Chandel W/o Shri Manoj Chandel Aged About 57 Years
Presently Posted As Lecturer Government Higher Secondary School Kanya
Parisar Ambikapur District- Surguja (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Kishore Narayan, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Mr. Yashwant Singh Thakur, Advocate

HON'BLE SHRI PARTH PRATEEM SAHU, JUDGE

ORDER ON BOARD

20.04.2026

- 1.** Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs.

“10.1 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly
be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus
setting aside the impugned order dated

12.06.2025 (Annexure P/3), in the interest of justice.

10.2 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus setting aside the impugned order dated 25.09.2025 (Annexure P/6).

10.3 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to continue working as Lecturer (Chemistry) at Government Higher Secondary School, Kanya Parisar, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

10.4 Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem and proper in the present circumstances of the case.”

2. Against the impugned order dated 12.06.2025 (Annexure-P/3), petitioner has earlier filed writ petition, bearing WPS No. 5594 of 2025 which came to be dismissed on merits vide order dated 09.07.2025.
3. In the aforementioned facts of the case, the second petition challenging the same order is not maintainable.
4. After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to avail the other remedy available under law.
5. In view of above submission, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE