



2026:CGHC:17428



2026:CGHC:17428

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 1603 of 2026**

1 - Smt. Savita Kumbhkar W/o Tukeshwar Kumbhkar Aged About 37 Years R/o Infront Of Om Nursing Home, Kapil Nagar, Seepat Road Sarkanda Bilaspur, P.S. Sarkanda, Tehsil And Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh. At Present R/o C/o House Of Sister Smt. Satya Kumbhkar, Block 52, Room No. 11, Near Water Tank, Ashoknagar Bilaspur, P.S. Sarkanda, Tahsil And Distt. Bilaspur, C.G. Mo. No. 7389042824.

... Petitioner**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Health, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, Tahsil And Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - National Medical Commission Through Secretary, (Then Medical Council Of India) Pocket 14, Sector 8, Dwarka, Phase-I, New Delhi 110077.

3 - Chhattisgarh State Medical Council 1st Floor, Dr. Balmukund Sharma Clinic, Kankalipara, Near Nagar Nigam Ayurvedic Hospital, Raipur, Tahsil And Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

4 - Chief Medical And Health Officer Office Of Chief Medical And Health Officer, Motibag Raipur, Tahsil And Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

5 - Issuing Medical Authority Department Of Empowerment Of Persons With Disability, Ministry Of Social Justice And Empowerment, Government Of India, Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

6 - Dr. Padmja Dubey Eyes Specialist, Regd. No. Cgmc 1668 Government District Hospital Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

7 - Tukeshwar @ Bunt Kumbhkar S/o Late Ramprasad Kumbhkar Aged About 37 Years R/o Ramleela Chowk Kharora, P.S. Kharora, Tahsil Kharora, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents



2026:CGHC:17428

(Cause title, as taken from CIS)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ali Afzaal Mirza, Advocate
For State/Respondent Nos.1 & 4	:	Mr. Anadi Sharma, Panel Lawyer
For Respondent No.2	:	Mr. Divy Jha, Advocate
For Respondent No.3	:	Dr. Sudeep Agrawal, Advocate
For Respondent No.5	:	Mr. Rishabh Dev Singh, CGC on behalf of Mr. Ramakant Mishra, Dy. Solicitor General of India

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Order on Board

16/04/2026

1. Heard.
2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this writ petition.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
4. Prayer is allowed.
5. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
6. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
JUDGE**