



2026:CGHC:17842



2026:CGHC:17842

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 1723 of 2026

Jaideep Sawlani S/o Shri R.K. Sawlani, Aged About 45 Years R/o- House No. 13-C,
Nehru Nagar (East), Bhilai, District-Durg (C.G.)

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Principal Secretary, Ministry Of Rural
Development, Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar Nava Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Managing Director, Chhattisgarh State Rural Livelihood Mission, 2nd Floor, Vikas
Bhawan, Atal Nagar, District-Raipur (C.G.)

3 - President Of Enquiry Committee Cum Coo (Ddugky) Vikas Aayukt Karyalay,
Chhattisgarh State Rural Livelihood Mission, 2nd Floor, Vikas Bhawan, Atal Nagar,
District-Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner : Mr. Anumeh Shrivastava, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Shreyansh Mehta, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi

Order On Board

20-4-2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India seeking following reliefs :-

1. Issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction directing



the Respondent authorities and/or the constituted Inquiry Committee to conclude the inquiry and decide the pending complaint/representations submitted by the Petitioner within a time-bound manner, by a speaking order, in accordance with law and to communicate the outcome thereof to the Petitioner, and/or

2. Costs of the Petition.

3. Any other relief as deemed fit by this Hon'ble Court.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that, respondent/CG State Rural Livelihood Mission (henceforth referred to as 'SRLM') invited proposals through a request for proposal from the Project Implementing Agencies (henceforth referred to as 'PIA') for the education and up-liftment of poor underprivileged students. Under the applicable guidelines governing the said scheme, the PIAs are required to undergo a multi stage evaluation process consisting of Initial Screening, Qualitative Appraisal, Pre-Proposal Approval Committee evaluation and Proposal Approval Committee evaluation along with verification of statutory compliance, financial capability and training infrastructure, but concerned authority made serious irregularities in allotment process, therefore, on complaint filed by the petitioner, an inquiry committee has been constituted in the chairmanship of respondent No. 3. In pursuance of the complaint made by the petitioner, he was also served notice by the respondent No. 3 to submit evidenciary record and documents, oral submission, written submission on 5-1-2026. Learned counsel further submits that, the



petitioner filed evidence, documents, etc. but, despite repeated applications, inquiry has not been completed yet. Hence, he prays that, respondent No. 3 be directed to conclude inquiry proceeding being conducted by the Committee in pursuance of application/representation filed by the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the State has no objection to allow above limited prayer.
4. Considering the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, without entering into merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent No. 3 to conclude the inquiry proceedings in pursuance of application / representation filed by the petitioner. Said inquiry be completed as early as possible preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order, if the same has not yet been decided.
5. With the above observations and directions, this writ petition stands disposed of.
6. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge