



2026:CGHC:18660



2026:CGHC:18660

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 3526 of 2026

1 - Riten @ Khadru S/o Jivan Sarthi Aged About 23 Years R/o Sangitarai, P.S. Jutmil, District- Raigarh (C.G.)

2 - Manish @ Ganesh Sarthi S/o Harish Sarthi Aged About 26 Years R/o Bajrangpara Nigam Colony P.S. Jutmil, District- Raigarh (C.G.)

... Applicants

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Officer In Charge Police Station Jutmil, District – Raigarh (C.G.)

---- Non-applicant

For Applicants	: Mr. Prahalad Panda, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	: Mr. Shailendra Sharma, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

23.04.2026

1. The applicants have preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as they have been arrested in connection with Crime No. 285/2025, registered at Police Station – Jutmil, District – Raigarh (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 310(2) of the BNS.
2. The case of the prosecution, is that on 16.08.2025 victim Vikas Mishra truck driver had stopped the truck in Sarangarh Bus Stand Raigarh, on that time some unknown persons entered in the truck and robbed Rs. 6,000/- and a realme mobile phone from his pocket and they fled, thereafter, victim filed a complaint before the Police Station concerned and the FIR was registered against the unknown persons, and on the



basis of the above, the Police arrested the applicant. Hence, this application.

3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicants that the applicants are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case and there are two criminal antecedents of applicant No.1 and one criminal antecedent of applicant No.2. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. The applicants are in jail since 08.10.2025 and trial is likely to take quite long time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail.
4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that there are two criminal antecedents of applicant No.1 and one criminal antecedent of applicant No.2, and the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. It is further submitted that the applicants are said to have robbed Rs. 6,000/- and a realme mobile phone from the complainant and they fled away, therefore, they are not entitled for grant of bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicants and the fact that there is two criminal antecedents of applicant No.1 and one criminal antecedent of applicant No.2, charge-sheet has been filed against the applicants, the applicants are in jail since 08.10.2025 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to allow this application.



7. Let applicants, **Riten @ Khadru** and **Manish @ Ganesh Sarthi**, involved in Crime No. 285/2025, registered at Police Station – Jutmil, District – Raigarh (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 310(2) of the BNS, be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties each** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse



2026:CGHC:18660

of liberty of bail and proceed against him in
accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court
concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

**Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice**

Rajshekhar