



2026:CGHC:18511-DB

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****REVP No. 107 of 2026**

Anup Kumar Jaiswal, S/o Lalbabu Jaiswal Aged About 53 Years A Proprietorship Firm, Through Its Proprietor - Anup Kumar Jaiswal, R/o Ward No. 21, Near Chainpur Naka, Ambikapur Road, District-Manendragarh-Chirmiri-Bharatpur (C.G.)

**... Petitioner****versus**

**1** - Chhattisgarh Police Housing Corporation Ltd. Through Its Chairman Cum Managing Director Having Office At Chhattisgarh Police Housing Corporation - Ltd Civil Lines Beside Rajbhawan, District- Raipur (C.G.)

**2** - Chairman Cum Managing Director Chhattisgarh Police Housing Corporation Ltd., Having Office At Chhattisgarh Police Housing Corporation - Ltd Civil Lines Beside Rajbhawan, District- Raipur (C.G.)

**3** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Home, Having Office At - Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

**... Respondents**


---

|                 |   |                                               |
|-----------------|---|-----------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner  | : | Mr.Hemant Kumar Agrawal, Advocate             |
| For Respondents | : | Ms.Vidhi, Agrawal, Advocate holding the brief |
| No.1 and 2      |   | of Mr.Pranjal Agrawal, Advocate               |
| For Respondent  | : | Mr.Nitansh Jaiswal, Deputy Government         |
| No.3            |   | Advocate                                      |

---



**Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**

**Hon'ble Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge**

**Order on Board**

**Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**

**23.04.2026**

1. Heard Mr.Hemant Kumar Agrawal, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Ms.Vidhi, Agrawal, learned counsel holding the brief of Mr.Pranjal Agrawal, learned counsel for respondents No.1 & 2 and Mr.Nitansh Jaiswal, learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for respondent No.3.
2. The present review petition has been filed by Mr. Hemant Kumar Agrawal, learned counsel. The matter has been advanced on behalf of the petitioner by Mr. Trivikram Nayak and Mr. Shivam Agrawal, learned Advocates before the writ Court. Mr.Hemant Kumar Agrawal states that his power was also in the writ petition.
3. Upon careful perusal of the record, particularly the order sought to be reviewed, it is evident that Mr. Trivikram Nayak and Mr. Shivam Agrawal, learned Advocates, had duly entered appearance and represented the party in the proceedings. The said order, on its face, records their appearance, and no ambiguity or error apparent on the face of the record is evident in this regard.
4. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, and there being no ground made out warranting interference in exercise of review



jurisdiction, this Court finds no merit in the present review petition.

The same is, accordingly, **dismissed**.

Sd/-

**(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)**  
**Judge**

Sd/-

**(Ramesh Sinha)**  
**Chief Justice**