



2026:CGHC:15273-DB

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRMP No. 935 of 2026

1 - Sai Adityarath S/o Manas Ranjan Rath, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Mani Colony, Panposh Post- Raurkela, District- Sundargarh Orrisa.

2 - Manas Ranjan Rath S/o Harihar Rath, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Mani Colony, Panposh Post- Raurkela, District- Sundargarh Orrisa.

... Petitioners

versus

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Sitapur, District - Surguja, Chhattisgarh.

2 - Harpreet Singh S/o Niranjana Singh, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Jaistambh Chowk, Bajarpara, Police Station and Tehsil- Sitapur District- Surguja, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioners : Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Advocate.

For Respondent-State : Mr. Sourabh Sahu, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Hon'ble Shri Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge

Order on Board

Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

02-04-2026

1. Heard Mr. Sanjay Pathak, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also

heard Mr. Sourabh Sahu, learned Panel Lawyer, appearing for State-Respondent No.1.

2. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners seeking the following relief(s):

- “a) That this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to Quash the FIR dated 02.01.2026 bearing Crime No.04/2026 registered at Police Station Sitapur, District-Surguja, Chhattisgarh, for the alleged offences under Sections 318(4) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, in exercise of powers under Section 528 of the B.N.S.S., 2023.
- b) That this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to pass any other order(s) as this Hon’ble Court deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice.”

3. As per the prosecution case, on the written complaint made by complainant Harpreet Singh FIR No.04/2026 has been registered at Police Station Sitapur, District-Surguja (C.G.) for the offence under Section 318(4) and 3(5) BNS against the petitioners. It is alleged in the complaint that the petitioners, who are father and son, had offered to sell a vehicle bearing registration No.OD-02-CE-5228 to the complainant through online advertisement on OLX, pursuant to which

the complainant transferred a sum of Rs.7,50,000/- through RTGS to the bank account of petitioner No.2. However, after receipt of the said amount, the accused persons neither transferred the vehicle nor its documents and as per the allegation made in the complaint when he enquired he came to know that the vehicle was sold to some other person.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners argued that the petitioners have already paid the amount due to the complainant and they have entered into compromise.

5. On being pointed query made from the learned counsel for the petitioners as to whether the petitioners have filed any anticipatory bail application or not, he states that the same was filed as MCRCA No.369/2026 which has been rejected vide order dated 10-03-2026.

6. It has been submitted that the compromise has taken place between the parties, in view of the same, as the matter is still under investigation, the petitioners are at liberty to file the compromise arrived, if any and to appear before the Investigating Officer along with the complainant, who shall file appropriate police report before the Court concerned after verifying the same. The Superintendent of Police concerned shall ensure the compliance of the order, if there is no legal impediment.

7. With the aforesaid observation, the present petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice