



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRMP No. 913 of 2026

1 - Aman Rai S/o Rajendra Ratre Aged About 28 Years R/o House No. 166, Shankar Nagar, Ward No. 14, Navagarh, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh

2 - Rajendra Ratre S/o Sukan Chand Ratre Aged About 55 Years R/o House No. 166, Shankar Nagar, Ward No. 14, Navagarh, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh

3 - Swati Ratre W/o Rajendra Ratre Aged About 51 Years R/o House No. 166, Shankar Nagar, Ward No. 14, Navagarh, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh

4 - Vivek Ratre S/o Rajendra Ratre Aged About 25 Years R/o House No. 166, Shankar Nagar, Ward No. 14, Navagarh, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh

... Petitioners

versus

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through Officer In Charge of Mahila Thana, District Durg Chhattisgarh

2 - Smt. Heena Rai W/o Aman Rai Aged About 26 Years R/o House No. 166 Shankar Nagar, Ward No. 14, Navagarh, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh Presently R/o Purani Basti, Village Nikum, Anda, Distt. Durg Chhattisgarh (Complainant)

... Respondents

Order Sheet

01/04/2026	Heard Mr. Shashi Bhushan Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Mr. Shailendra Sharma, learned Panel Lawyer, appearing for the

	State/respondent No.1. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 got married on 07.07.2023 at Amar Tapu Temple, District Mungeli, in accordance with Hindu rites and customs. He further submitted that the marriage between the parties was a love marriage, solemnized voluntarily without consent or participation of family members from either side, and no dowry or articles were exchanged at the time of marriage. He also submitted that after marriage, respondent No.2 started residing in the matrimonial house situated at Shankar Nagar, Navagarh, District Bemetara, along with the petitioners, who are members of a joint family. The marriage was consummate between the parties and one child was born namely Mannat Rai. He also submitted that for initial period of about 1-2 months, the marital life of the parties remained cordial, and no complaint of any nature was ever made by the respondent thereafter certain matrimonial disputes
--	--

arose between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2, primarily on account of personal incompatibility, temperamental differences, and suspicion regarding personal conduct of petitioner No. 1 and due to said matrimonial discord, respondent No.2 left the matrimonial house on her own accord along with her minor child and started residing at her parental home. It is submitted that the allegations of dowry demand are false, baseless and inherently improbable, as the marriage was admittedly a love marriage and no dowry was given at the time of marriage. He also submitted that the petitioner No.1 has been granted anticipatory bail by this Hon'ble Court in MCRCA No. 376/2026 and petitioner Nos. 2 to 4 have already been granted anticipatory bail by the learned 4th Additional Sessions Judge, Durg which itself indicates that the case is not of a serious or exceptional nature.

Considering the fact that the dispute arrived at between the parties is matrimonial in nature, we deem it appropriate to make an effort to get the said dispute

settled by way of mediation.

In view of the above, petitioner No.1, husband is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- with the Mediation Centre of this Court within a period of one week from today and the same shall be paid to respondent No.2/ complainant on her appearance before the Mediation Centre.

Parties are directed to appear before the Mediation Centre of this Court on **16.04.2026**.

Learned State counsel is directed to inform the private respondent *i.e.* respondent No. 2 about passing of this order, so that she may appear before the Mediation Centre on the aforesaid date.

List this matter along with report of Mediation Centre before this Court on **24.04.2026**.

It is also made clear that if any final settlement is arrived at between the parties, the aforesaid amount so deposited, shall be adjusted.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice