



2026:CGHC:12726



2026:CGHC:12726

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 1553 of 2017

1 - Yogesh Kumar Deshmukh S/o Shri Kedar Singh Deshmukh Aged About 22 Years R/o Rawanbatha, Supela, District- Durg, Chhattisgarh

2 - Devkumar Deshmukh S/o Shri Chandrakumar Deshmukh Aged About 21 Years R/o Village Pairi, Post Pairi, District- Durg, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioners

Versus

1 - (Deleted) Union Of India As Per Honble Court Order Dated 16/03/2020.

2 - Chairman, Staff Selection Commission J- -5, Anupam Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - Deputy Director, Staff Selection Commission, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Harshwardhan Singh Thakur, Advocate holding the brief of Mr. B.P. Singh, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Mr. Ramakant Mishra, Deputy Solicitor General

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey **Order on Board**

17/03/2026

1. The petitioners have filed this petition seeking the following relief(s):-

“10.1 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authority to select and appoint to the petitioner for the post of constable GD.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to call for the entire records of the selection process relating to the matter of petitioners, for the interest of justice.

10.3 Any other relief/relief's which may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may also be allowed.”



2. Mr. Harshwardhan Singh Thakur, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners submitted their applications forms for the post of Constable GD in CAPFs, NIA & SSF pursuant to Advertisement issued on 24.01.2015. He would submit that the candidatures of the petitioners were rejected on the ground that they do not belong to naxal affected area and they scored lesser marks than the last selected candidate. He would submit that the respondent authorities without affording any opportunity of hearing and without assigning sufficient reasons rejected their candidature.
3. On the other hand, Mr. Ramakant Mishra, learned Deputy Solicitor General appearing for the respondents would submit that the petitioners could not adduce documents to demonstrate that they are residents of naxal affected area. Further they failed to secure minimum qualifying marks in the examination.
4. Heard counsel for the parties and perused the documents.
5. Taking into consideration the submissions advanced by the respective Advocates, the petitioners are permitted to make representation before respondent No. 3 within a period of 30 days raising all their grievances and in turn, the said authority is directed to decide it strictly in accordance with law preferably within a period of further 90 days.
6. Accordingly, the petition is **disposed of**.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge