



2026:CGHC:15089



2026:CGHC:15089

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
MCRC No. 2928 of 2026

Rajendra Kurre @ Sonu S/o Ashwani Kumar Kurre Aged About 25 Years R/o Village Sonbarsa P.S. Bahatapara Gramin Balodabazar Distt Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Suhela, District – Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Non-applicant

For Applicant : Mr. Vijay Shankar Mishra, Advocate.

For Non-applicant/State : Ms. Ankita Shukla, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

01.04.2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 404/2025, registered at Police Station – Suhela, District – Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 296, 351(3), 127(2), 109(1), 115(2), 324(4), 191(2), 191(3) and 190 of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution, is that the complainant, namely Vivekanand Verma, lodged an oral report at Police Station Suhela stating that he is engaged in the business of contracting and that on 13.12.2025 at about 8:30 PM, while he was present in his office along with Balram Dhruw and Sant Ram, he received a phone call from his



brother-in-law, Sourabh Kashyap, informing him that he, along with his friends Rajendra Verma and Angad Sahu, had gone to the liquor shop at Hirmi, where, on the issue of overpricing of liquor, the shop employees, namely Kavi Manhare, Rajendra Kurre @ Sonu, Badri Chelak, and their associates, abused them in filthy language, criminally intimidated them, assaulted them, and caused damage to his Swift car bearing registration No. CG-04 PM 6860, and requested him to come and take them back; pursuant thereto, the complainant, along with driver Sivesh Carpenter, Sant Ram Sirmaur, and Balram Dhruw, proceeded to the said liquor shop in his Scorpio vehicle bearing registration No. CG-04 PQ 7770, where, upon not finding Sourabh, he inquired about him from a salesman at the counter, who, on the pretext of providing information, took the complainant inside the shop and locked the door, whereupon the aforesaid accused persons and other employees, using filthy language and with an intention to kill, assaulted him with wooden sticks and iron rods on his head and other parts of the body, causing grievous injuries; thereafter, they dragged him outside and again assaulted him, while Sant Ram Sirmaur and Balram Dhruw managed to escape, but Sivesh Carpenter was caught and similarly assaulted, and damage was also caused to the complainant's Scorpio vehicle.

3. Thereafter, the accused persons left them in an injured condition, following which Angad Sahu took the complainant to Mission Hospital, Tilda, from where, considering his critical condition, he was referred to a higher medical center at Raipur, where he was treated for injuries sustained on his head, back, abdomen, right shoulder, left hand, and



both legs, and during the incident, his wristwatch, gold ring, and gold chain were also lost; on the basis of the said report, an offence was registered and, upon completion of investigation, charge-sheet has been filed. Hence, this application.

4. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case and there is one criminal antecedent registered against the applicant. It is further submitted that the co-accused person namely, Ishwar Kurre has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 23.03.2026 passed in MCRC No.2717 of 2026. The applicant is in jail since 27.01.2026 and trial is likely to take quite long time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail.
5. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application of the applicant and submits that the charge-sheet has been filed and there is one criminal antecedent registered against the applicant, but could not dispute the fact that co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court and the case of the present applicant is identical to that of the co-accused.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents available on record.
7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, and the fact that the charge-sheet has been filed and there is one criminal antecedent registered against the applicant, the co-accused person namely, Ishwar Kurre has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 23.03.2026 passed in MCRC No.2717 of 2026, and the



applicant is in jail since 27.01.2026 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to allow this application, on the ground of parity.

8. Let applicant, **Rajendra Kurre @ Sonu**, involved in Crime No. 404/2025, registered at Police Station – Suhela, District – Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 296, 351(3), 127(2), 109(1), 115(2), 324(4), 191(2), 191(3) and 190 of the BNS, be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond with two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening



2026:CGHC:15089

of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

**Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice**

Rajshekhar