



2026:CGHC:35161



CGHC010121592023



2026:CGHC:35161

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2425 of 2023

M. Ali S/o Shri S. A. Ali Aged About 64 Years Occupation- Assistant Professor (Sociology) Government Kaktiya Post Graduate College, Dharampura Jagdalpur, District : Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Higher Education Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - The Commissioner Higher Education Sanchalnalay, Block- C-30, LInd Floor Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - The Principal Government Kaktiya Post Graduate College, Dharampura, Jagdalpur, District : Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh

4 - Dr. Smt. V. Vijay Laxmi Principal Government Kaktiya Post Graduate College Dharampura, Jagdalpur, District : Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

{Cause title, as taken from CIS}

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Avinash K. Mishra, Advocate
For Respondents No. 1 to 3	:	Ms. Vartika Shrivastava, P.L.

{Hon'ble Mr. Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi}

Order on Board

11/08/2026

Heard.

1. Grievance of the petitioner in the instant writ petition is that for the financial year 2019 -2020 and 2022-23, wrong information has been sent by respondents No. 3 & 4 in respect of deduction made from his salary.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that aforesaid wrong information has been rectified by respondents No. 3 & 4 in respect of actual



deduction and information sent to the Income Tax Department, but no action has been taken against arraying employee / officials by them in respect of representation dated 20.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) made by petitioner to respondent No. 3. Hence, he prays that since grievance has been redressed, therefore, respondents No. 3 may be directed to take appropriate steps against the arraying employees by considering representation dated 20.12.2022 (Annexure P-1), as no decision has been taken on the said representation yet.

3. Counsel for the respondents/State submits that she has no objection to allow the aforesaid prayer made by counsel for the petitioner.

4. Heard counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. As contended by learned counsel for the petitioner, substantive grievance of the petitioner has been redressed by rectifying information sent to the Income Tax Department in respect of deduction made from the salary of the petitioner, but despite the representations submitted by the petitioner to Respondent Nos. 3 and 2 on 20.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) and 02.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) respectively, the same has not been decided by taking appropriate action against the concerned officials/employees of the college.

6. Therefore, this petition is disposed of directing respondent No. 3 to take appropriate steps in respect of representation dated 20.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioner before it. The petitioner is also at liberty to file copy of representation (Annexure P-1) dated 20.12.2022 alongwith copy of this order before respondent No. 3 in compliance of this order.

7. With the aforesaid observation & direction, this writ petition is disposed of.

8. Pending interlocutory application (s), if any, stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge



2026:CGHC:35161