

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****CRMP No. 910 of 2026**

**1** - Ankit Tiwari S/o Rakesh Tiwari, Aged About 36 Years R/o Viilla 41, Salasar Green, Sarena, Thana- D.D. Nagar, District- Raipur (C.G.)

**2** - Rakesh Kumar Tiwari S/o Late Ram Krishna Tiwari, Aged About 66 Years R/o Viilla 41, Salasar Green, Sarena, Thana- D.D. Nagar, District- Raipur (C.G.)

**3** - Pushpa Tiwari W/o Rakesh Tiwari Aged About 64 Years R/o Viilla 41, Salasar Green, Sarena, Thana- D.D. Nagar, District- Raipur (C.G.)

**4** - Swati Dubey W/o Suraj Dubey, Aged About 41 Years R/o Dkb 67/187, Ward No. 67, Thana- City Colony Om Shri Shaym Chowk Changora Bhata Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

**5** - Suraj Dubey S/o Shri Sohan Lal Dubey, Aged About 39 Years R/o Dkb 67/187, Ward No. 67, Thana- City Colony Om Shri Shaym Chowk Changora Bhata Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

**... Petitioners**

**versus**

**1** - The State of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Mahila Thana District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

**2** - Jyoti Mishra W/o Ankit Tiwari, Aged About 34 Years R/o Near Durga Mandir Jabdapara, P.S. Sarkanda District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

**... Respondents**

**Order Sheet**

<b>01/04/2026</b>	Heard Mr. Ashutosh Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Mr. Shailendra Sharma, learned Panel Lawyer, appearing for the State/respondent No.1 on I.A. No. 01/2026 application



for grant of stay.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the instant FIR is vague, omnibus, and bereft of material particulars, as it does not disclose any specific date, time, place, or overt acts attributable to each petitioner, thereby rendering the prosecution legally unsustainable. The present case is a clear misuse of Section 498-A IPC, wherein all family members, including those residing separately, have been mechanically implicated without any prima facie material, contrary to settled law of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. He further submitted that Petitioner Nos. 4 and 5, who are admittedly residing separately, have no nexus with the matrimonial affairs of Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent No. 2 and have been falsely implicated with mala fide intent. He also submitted that Petitioner Nos. 2 and 3, being aged parents residing at Bhanupratappur, District Kanker (C.G.) at the relevant time, have been roped in merely due to the Respondent No. 2's unwillingness to reside with them. Further, the



allegation of demand of ₹50 lakhs and a Honda City car is wholly false and fabricated, as Petitioner No. 1 was already the registered owner of a Honda City purchased in April 2019 prior to the marriage, and the entire marriage expenses were borne by the petitioners' family, thereby demolishing the substratum of the prosecution case.

Considering the fact that the dispute arrived at between the parties is matrimonial in nature, we deem it appropriate to make an effort to get the said dispute settled by way of mediation.

In view of the above, petitioner No.1, husband is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- with the Mediation Centre of this Court within a period of one week from today and the same shall be paid to respondent No.2/ complainant on her appearance before the Mediation Centre.

Parties are directed to appear before the Mediation Centre of this Court on **16.04.2026**.

Learned State counsel is directed to inform the



private respondent *i.e.* respondent No. 2 about passing of this order, so that she may appear before the Mediation Centre on the aforesaid date.

List this matter along with report of Mediation Centre before this Court on **24.04.2026**.

Till then, the further criminal proceedings in Criminal Case No. 411/2022 pending before the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Bilaspur shall remain stayed.

The petitioners are directed to produce the copy of the receipt before the concerned trial Court regarding payment of the said money before the Mediation Centre of this Court in pursuance of this Court's order, then only this order shall be given into effect.

It is also made clear that if any final settlement is arrived at between the parties, the aforesaid amount so deposited, shall be adjusted.

Sd/-  
**(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)**  
Judge

Sd/-  
**(Ramesh Sinha)**  
Chief Justice