



2026:CGHC:15214



2026:CGHC:15214

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCC No. 318 of 2026

1 - Pyarelal Nashine S/o Anup Singh Aged About 72 Years A Retired Works Charge Employee R/o Village Sirri, Post- Kanki, Tehsil Kharora District- Raipur (C.G.)

... **Petitioner**

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Chief Secretary Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary General Administration Department Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

3 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Water Resource Department Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

4 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Department Of Finance Accounts And Treasury Govt Of Chhattisgarh Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

5 - The Executive Engineer Water Management Division No. 02, Balodabazar District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

... **Respondents**

For Petitioner : Mr. Anand Kujur, Advocate

For Respondents-State : Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Saini, Dy. Government
Advocate

SB: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

02/04/2026



1. This MCC is filed by the applicant seeking correction/modification in the order dated 20.02.2026 passed in WP (S) No. 1892/2026.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the writ petition was filed by petitioner 'Pyarelal Nashine' whereas, while passing the order, due to typographical error, name of the petitioner is mentioned as '*Pyislal Nashine*' and therefore, the name of the petitioner as mentioned in the order dated 20.02.2026 in WP (S) No. 1892/2026 be directed to be corrected.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the order dated 20.02.2026 passed in in WP (S) No. 1892/2026.
4. On due consideration of the submission of counsel for the applicant as also, upon perusal of the writ petition, it appears that due to typographical error, name of the petitioner has wrongly been mentioned as '*Pyislal Nashine*' instead of 'Pyarelal Nashine' in cause-title of the order.
5. In the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that the name of the petitioner in the cause-title of the order dated 20.02.2026 passed in WP (S) No. 1892/2026 be read as 'Pyarelal Nashine' instead of '*Pyislal Nashine*'. It is ordered accordingly.
6. For the foregoing discussion and observation, this MCC is **allowed**. Registry is directed to make this order as part of record in WP (S) No. 1892/2026.
7. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey