



2026:CGHC:17978



2026:CGHC:17978

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 3181 of 2026**

1 - Ajay Usare S/o Shri Narasingh Usare Aged About 45 Years R/o Through Khameshwar Thakur, Centaur No. 6, A-Mark Road No. 34, Block 8, Bhilai, Distt. Durg, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner**Versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Principal Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - Development Commissioner Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3 - Chief Engineer Rural Engineering Services, Development Bhawan, Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

4 - Chief Executive Officer District Panchayat Narayanpur, District Narayanpur, Chhattisgarh.

5 - Executive Engineer/incharge Rural Engineering Services, Distt. Narayanpur, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Aashi Bhattacharya, Advocate

For Respondents-State : Mr. Suyashdhar Badgaiyan, Government Advocate

SB: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge**ORDER ON BOARD****21/04/2026**



1. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-

"1(i) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction quashing and setting aside the impugned removal order passed by the respondent authorities in the year 2025.

(ii) Declare that the petitioner is entitled to be treated in continuous service from the date of his appointment, with all consequential benefits arising therefrom.

(iii) Direct the respondent authorities to release the petitioner's withheld salary and all admissible dues from December 2021 onwards, together with consequential benefits, arrears and such interest as this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper.

(iv) Direct the respondents to accept the petitioner's presence, restore him to service, and permit him to discharge his duties forthwith.

(v) Grant any other relief or reliefs as may be deemed just, equitable and appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the present case."

2. Learned counsel for the Respondents/State raises an objection with regard to maintainability of the writ petition on the ground that petitioner is having the alternate efficacious remedy of filing a appeal against the impugned order dated 29.09.2025 (Annexure P/2).
3. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition at this stage with liberty to avail the other remedy available under the law.
4. On due consideration of the submission of counsel for the respective parties, this writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn** at this stage, reserving liberty with the petitioner to avail the alternate remedy available under law.
5. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge