



2026:CGHC:14715

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRR No. 440 of 2026

1 - Kanti Kumari W/o Puriram Kosle Aged About 35 Years R/o Village- Dhamni, Tehsil And Police Station- Hasoud- Distt.- Sakti (C.G.) Present Address - House No. C-9 Mopka, Gyatri Parisar, City Park, District- Bilaspur (C.G.).

2 - Taniya Kumari D/o Puriram Kosle Aged About 16 Years Through Legal Guardian (Mother) Kanti Madhukar W/o Purifam Kosle, Aged About 5 Years, Present Address - House No. C-9 Mopka, Gyatri Parisar, City Park, District- Bilaspur (C.G.).

3 - Prakshi Kumari D/o Puriram Kosle Aged About 15 Years Through Legal Guardian (Mother) Kanti Madhukar W/o Puriram Kosle Aged About 35 Years, Present Address - House No. C-9 Mopka, Gyatri Parisar, City Park, District- Bilaspur (C.G.).

4 - Shrishti Kumari D/o Puriram Kosle Aged About 14 Years Through Legal Guardian (Mother) Kanti Madhukar W/o Puriram Kosle Aged About 35 Years, Present Address - House No. C-9 Mopka, Gyatri Parisar, City Park, District- Bilaspur (C.G.).

5 - Surbhi Kumari D/o Puriram Kosle Aged About 12 Years Through Legal Guardian (Mother) Kanti Madhukar W/o Puriram Kosle Aged About 35 Years, Present Address - House No. C-9 Mopka, Gyatri Parisar, City Park, District- Bilaspur (C.G.).

6 - Nitansh Kosle S/o Puriram Kosle Aged About 5 Years Through Legal Guardian (Mother) Kanti Madhukar W/o Puriram Kosle Aged About 35 Years, Present Address - House No. C-9 Mopka, Gyatri Parisar, City Park, District- Bilaspur (C.G.).

... Applicants

versus

1 - Puriram Kosle S/o Late Balaram Kosle, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Village- Bilaspur Post And Tahsil- Sarsiva District- Sarangarh- Bilaigarh, (C.G.).

... Respondents

For Applicants	: Mr. Sudhir Verma, Advocate
For Respondent	: Not noticed.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal

Order on Board

30/03/2026

1. Heard on I.A. No. 01/2026, which is an application for condonation of delay in filing of this revision petition.
2. Upon due consideration and for the reasons mentioned in the application, I.A. No. 01/2026 is **allowed** and the delay of 129 days in filing of this revision petition is hereby condoned.
3. Also heard on admission.
4. By this revision filed under Section 438/442 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner prays for a direction to quash the impugned order dated 06.08.2025, passed by the learned Second Additional Sessions Judge, Sakti, District-Janjgir-Champa (C.G.) in Criminal Appeal No. 54/2024, whereby the interim maintenance granted by the learned trial Court has been reduced by partly allowing the appeal.
5. The applicants filed an application under Sections 12 and 23 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 before the JMFC, Jaijaipur (CG), seeking interim maintenance. The trial Court granted Rs. 17,000/- per month in their favour. The respondent, husband of applicant No. 1 and father of applicants No. 2 to 6, filed an appeal before the Second Additional Sessions Judge, Sakti, which was partly allowed, reducing the interim maintenance to Rs. 12,000/- per month. Aggrieved by this order, the applicants have filed the present revision.

6. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the order passed by the learned Appellate Court is bad in law. He further submits that the learned trial Court granted Rs. 17,000/- in favour of the applicants, which is just and proper, but the learned Appellate Court erred in modifying the interim maintenance to Rs. 12,000/-. The Applicants No. 2 to 6 are students and require money for school fees, books, and coaching, and Rs. 12,000/- is insufficient. Therefore, the order passed by the learned Appellate Court is not deserve to be sustained. Hence, it is prayed that this revision be allowed and the impugned order dated 06.08.2025 passed by the learned Appellate Court be quashed.
7. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and perused the material available on record with utmost circumspection.
8. Considering the overall material on record, I do not find any infirmity or irregularity in the impugned order passed by the learned Appellate Court, warranting interference by this Court. Taking into account that the case pertains to domestic violence, Criminal Case No. 03/2024 is pending before the trial Court since 2024, therefore, the learned trial Court is directed to expedite and conclude, preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
9. With the above observation and direction, the present revision petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge