



2026:CGHC:13169



2026:CGHC:13169

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2619 of 2026

Akib Meman S/o Shri Rafiq Khalkia Aged About 29 Years R/o Santoshi Nagar
Pragati Vihar Raipur P/S Tikrapara Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

... **Applicant**

versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through P/S Hathband Distt. Balodabazar-Bhatapara
Chhattisgarh

... **Non-applicant**

For Applicant	: Mr. Ajay Kumar Mishra, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	: Ms. Anusha Naik, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

19.03.2026

1. This is the **First bail application** filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 221/2025 registered at Police Station : Hathband, District Baloda-Bazar-Bhatapara (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 3(5) and 111 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. The prosecution story, in brief, is that the complainant, Neeraj Verma, lodged a written complaint at Police Station Hathband on 30.10.2025, stating that the applicant, along with other co-accused, introduced himself as a recovery agent of Hinduja Finance Company. It was alleged



that a Hiwa truck bearing registration No. CG 22W 8845 was financed by Hinduja Finance and that the instalments were not being paid by the debtor (owner). The allegation against the applicant is that he fraudulently took away the said Hiwa truck. The police registered the offence and arrested the applicant, while the other co-accused persons are absconding.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the name of the applicant does not appear in the FIR, and he has been arrested only on the basis of the memorandum statement of the co-accused. He also submits that the applicant has no criminal antecedents and he is in jail since 18.11.2025, conclusion of the trial may take some time, therefore, he prays for grant of regular bail to the present applicant.
4. On the other hand learned State counsel opposes the bail application of the present applicant and submits that the charge-sheet has been submitted before the competent Court in the present case.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the facts and circumstance of the case, nature and gravity of offence and further the fact that the applicant has no criminal antecedents, further, the charge-sheet has already been submitted in the present case before the competent Court and the present applicant has been in jail since 18.11.2025, the trial is likely to take sometime for its conclusion, therefore, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case.
7. Let applicant, **Akib Meman**, involved in Crime No. 221/2025 registered at Police Station : Hathband, District Baloda-Bazar-Bhatapara (C.G.) for



the offence punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 3(5) and 111 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond with two sureties** in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

- (i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.
- (ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
- (iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
- (iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to



2026:CGHC:13169

treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed
against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court
concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Abhishek