2026:CGHC:14774

2026:CGHC:14774

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2522 of 2021

*** -** Shankar Lal Mathur S/o Shri Chain Das Mathur Aged About 49 Years Working As Technician Assistant At Government Engineering College Koni, Bilaspur, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Technical And Higher Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - Director Department Of Technical Education, Indravati Bhawan, Block -3, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - Principal Government Engineering College, Bilaspur, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

4 - Arun Lal Dhruwe Technical Assistant At Government Engineering College, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner	**:**	Mr. Ravipal Maheshwari, Advocate
For Respondents No. 1 to 3 / State	**:**	Mr. Anil Pandey, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

30/03/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking the following relief(s):-

> "**10.(i)** That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to grant seniority after completion of 5 years of his service as per notification within stipulated time, in the interest of justice.
>
> **10.(ii)** That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to grant arrears alongwith 18%

2026:CGHC:14774

interest with all other consequential benefit, in the interest of justice.

10.(iii) Any other relief which may be suitable in the facts and circumstances of the case, may also be granted."

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was appointed on the post of Lab Technician at Government Engineering College, Bilaspur vide order dated 12.03.1996. He would submit that the petitioner has completed 05 years of service, but he has not been granted seniority and promotion. He would contend that though the petitioner was eligible for promotion to the next higher post in the year 2005, but till date said benefit has not been extended, therefore, the petitioner is entitled for arrears of salary from said date.
3. On the other hand, learned Government Advocate appearing for the State would oppose.
4. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
5. Taking into consideration the dispute involved in the present case, the petitioner is permitted to make a representation before respondents No. 2 and 3 and in turn, the said authorities are directed to decide it expeditiously strictly in accordance with law.
6. With the aforesaid direction(s)/observation(s), this petition is **disposed of**.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

vatti