



2026:CGHC:19139

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 2656 of 2026**

- Sahil Yadav S/o Vijru Yadav, Aged About 19 Years R/o Kandul P.S. Mujgahan, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

... Applicant(s)**versus**

- State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Mujgahan, District Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)**(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**

For Applicant(s)	:	Mr. Rekhraj Baghel, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms, Sameeksha Gupta, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****25/04/2026**

- This is First bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant arrested in connection with Crime No.21/2026 registered at Police Station – Mujgahan, District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 296, 109 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.
- As per prosecution story, in brief, is that, the complainant has made written complaint before the concerned police station that on date of incident there was dispute in between parties regarding previous enmity and thereafter, the accused persons have assaulted upon up

the injured person by knife as resultant the injured person was received simple injuries. Based upon such complaint of the complainant, the police has registered the offence punishable under section 296, 109, 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the present applicant is in jail since 27.01.2026 and conclusion of the trial may take some time, therefore, he prays for grant of regular bail to the present applicant. He also submits that the allegation levelled against the present applicant is that on the date of incident there was dispute in between parties regarding previous enmity and thereafter, the applicant has assaulted the injured person by knife, as resultant the injured person was received grievous injuries. He also submitted that the injured as well as his father have filed no objection regarding the grant of bail to the applicant. Copy of the same are annexed herewith as Annexure-A/3, therefore applicant is entitled for grant of bail.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel, opposes the bail application and submits that the charge-sheet has been filed in the present case before the competent authority and there was a dispute between the parties due to previous enmity. Subsequently, the applicant assaulted the injured person with a knife, as a result of which the injured sustained grievous injuries on the head and back. The injured person was admitted to the hospital and remained under treatment for approximately nine days.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties, nature and gravity of the offence and the material available on record, considering the fact that applicant assaulted the injured person with a knife, as a result of which the injured sustained grievous injuries on the head and back and the injured person was admitted to the hospital and remained under treatment for approximately nine days, therefore, this Court is of the opinion that it is not a fit case to enlarge the applicant on bail.
7. Accordingly, this bail application of applicant – **Sahil Yadav**, involved in Crime No.21/2026 registered at Police Station – Mujgahan, District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 296, 109 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023., is **rejected** at this stage.
8. Needless to say that the trial Court concerned is at liberty to proceed and conclude the trial expeditiously.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

vaishali