



2026:CGHC:19150



2026:CGHC:19150

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2663 of 2026

Tejram Yadav S/o Ramprasad Yadav Aged About 40 Years R/o Village-
Mauhari, PS And Tehsil-Chirmiri, District Manendragarh-Chirmiri-Bharatpur
(CG)

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through- PS -Chirmiri, District Manendragarh-Chirmiri-
Bharatpur (CG)

... Respondent

For Applicant : Shri Shobhit Koshta, Advocate.

For : Shri Shailendra Sharma, PL.

Respondent/State

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

25/04/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of regular
bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime
No.66/2026 registered at Police Station Chirmiri, District-
Manendragarh, Chirmiri-Bharatpur, CG. (Police Station Khadgwa is



wrongly mentioned in Page No. 06) for the offence punishable under Section 34 (2) of Chhattisgarh Excise Act.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 6/2/26, the police of police station- Chirmiri received the mukhbir information that applicant and other co-accused namely Prashant Nahak are involved in selling liquor. The police conducted a search and caught hold of co-accused namely Prashant Nahak and found from his possession total quantity of 20 bulk litre country made liquor and during investigation, it has come in the memorandum statement of co-accused namely Prashant Nahak that the present applicant was also there on spot with the co-accused and thereafter ran away from the spot. Thereafter, the police registered the case and investigation is going on.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case and 20 bulk litres country made liquor was not seized from the exclusive possession of the applicant. He further submits that under Section 34(2) of the C.G. Excise Act, minimum punishment is one year and maximum punishment is three years. He also submits that applicant is in jail since 26/02/2026 and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take quite long time. Therefore, he prays for grant of regular bail to the applicant.
4. Learned counsel for the State/non-applicant would oppose the bail application and submit that the charge-sheet has been filed in the present case before the competent Court and the applicant has no criminal antecedent. He further submits that 20 bulk litres country made liquor was recovered from the possession of the co-accused whereas



the applicant who was present along with the co-accused fled away from the spot, therefore, he is not entitled for grant of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant, he is in jail since 26/02/2026, applicant has no criminal antecedents, charge-sheet has been filed & further the conclusion of the trial may take some more time, therefore this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case.
7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that the applicant - **Tejram Yadav**, involved in Crime No.66/2026 registered at Police Station Chirmiri, District- Manendragarh, Chirmiri-Bharatpur, CG. (Police Station Khadgwa is wrongly mentioned in Page No. 06) for the offence punishable under Section 34 (2) of Chhattisgarh Excise Act, be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through



his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice