



2026:CGHC:13426



2026:CGHC:13426

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WP227 No. 335 of 2026

Ajeet Singh Baghel S/o Shri Sukhendra Singh, Aged About 28 Years (Now 32 Years) Permanent R/o Kukutveda Raipur, Police Station Saraswati Nagar, Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.) Presently R/o Manisha Devi Devendra Singh Chauhan, House No. A/113, Nature City, Face- 2, Sakari Police Station Sakari, District- Bilaspur (C.G.) Mobile No. 8319056019

... **Petitioner(s)**

versus

Dolly Dhruw W/o Shri Ajeet Singh Baghel, Aged About 32 Years (Now 36 Years) R/o Kukutveda Raipur, Police Station Saraswati Nagar, Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.) Presently R/o And Address - Secretary, District Legal Service Authority, Surajpur, District- Surajpur (C.G.) Mobile No. 9165666374 Now Presently Posted As Civil Judge Class- I Korba, Under Principal District And Session Judge, Korba District- Korba (C.G.)

... **Respondent(s)**

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pawan Shrivastava, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, J.

Order on Board

20/03/2026

1. Heard.



2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the applicant before the learned Family Court, Bilaspur and he filed an application under Section 13(1) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 for grant of divorce. The application is filed on 01.10.2022 and it is pending till date and the proceeding of the case is not in considerable progress, therefore, the learned Family Court may be directed to decide the case of the petitioner as early as possible within any stipulated time frame.
3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the material annexed with the writ petition.
4. From perusal of the order sheets of the learned Family Court, it reveals that the petitioner has filed an application before the learned Family Court on 01.10.2022 and the notice was ordered to be issued to the non applicant, however, for one or other reason, the notice could not be served and ultimately, the substituted service of summons was ordered to be issued against the respondent/ non applicant and after effecting substituted service of summons, the non applicant appeared for the proceeding on 19.12.2024 before the learned Family Court and sought time to file reply in the case. It also transpires that on various dates the non applicant sought time to file reply in the case, however, after filing of reply, issues have been framed on 18.08.2025 and the case was fixed for recording evidence of applicant/petitioner. On 06.09.2025, the non applicant has filed an application under Order 14 Rule 5 of CPC for framing additional issues in the case, then the case is further adjourned by the learned Family Court.
5. Be that as it may, the fact remains that the application for grant of



divorce is pending before the learned Family Court since 01.10.2022
and till date the evidence of the applicant has not been started.

6. Considering the length of the application and the proceeding pending before the learned Family Court, it is directed that the learned Family Court shall expedite the hearing of the case of the petitioner and to conclude the same as early as possible preferably within further period of six months from the next date fixed before it and no unnecessary adjournment shall be granted to parties concerned.
7. With the aforesaid observation, the present writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
JUDGE