



2026:CGHC:18513

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2450 of 2021

1 - Ghanshyam Prasad Sharma S/o Late Shri Ramadhar Dubey Aged About 64 Years R/o Ward No. 8, Shikshak Colony, Kawardha , District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh., District : Kawardha (Kabirdham), Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary , Department Of Cooperative Societies , Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur , District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - Director Accounts Treasury And Pension Rajnandgaon District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

3 - The Executive Officer District Cooperative Central Bank Limited, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Petitioner	: Mr.Ravi Bhagat, Advocate
For State	: Mr. Abhishek Singh, PL
For Respondent No.3	: Mr. Shashi Bhushan Tiwari, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

23.04.2026

1. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking following relief :

“10.1 That, the petitioner most humbly and respectfully prays to this Hon'ble Court to issue appropriate order/direction to the respondent authorities to release the recovered amount payable against gratuity i.e. Rs. 3,95,926/-to the petitioner forthwith.

10.2 That the petitioner most humbly and respectfully prays to this Hon'ble Court to issue appropriate order/direction directing the respondents to pay interest @ 18% on the recovered amount i.e. Rs. 3,95,926/- from the due date.

10.3 Any other relief whatsoever, this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper may also be granted to the

petitioner.”

2. Mr. Ravi Bhagat, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the penalty of recovery of Rs.3,95,000/- was passed and said order has already been set aside by the Additional Registrar. It is contended that the order passed by the Additional Registrar has been affirmed in appeal. He would contend that till date, respondent No.3 has not made payment of the gratuity amount. He would further contend that the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act and a direction may be issued to the said authority to decide it expeditiously.
3. No objection from other side.
4. Permission is granted.
5. Taking into consideration the above prayer made by Mr. Ravi Bhagat, Advocate, this petition is **dismissed** as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Rekha