



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2628 of 2026

Abhishek Pradhan @ Kalu S/o Arjun Pradhan Aged About 26 Years R/o Vivekanand Ward, Jagdalpur, Tahsil Jagdalpur, District Bastar, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Of Police Station Bodhghat, District Bastar, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. Ramsajivan, Adv.
For Respondent/State	:	Ms. Priya Sharma, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal

Order On Board

30/03/2026

1. Mother of the victim appeared virtually and recorded objection in granting bail to the applicant.
2. The applicant has preferred this 1st bail application under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita Act 2023 for grant of regular bail as he is arrested in connection with crime No. 245/2025, registered at Police Station – Bodhghat, District- Bastar (C.G.) for offence punishable under Sections 64(2)(M) of BNS and Section 6 of the POCSO Act, 2012.
3. The prosecution's case, in short, is that on 05.03.2025, the victim committed suicide at her home by hanging herself. The allegation against the applicant is that prior to two years from 05.03.2025, when the victim was minor, the applicant developed physical relationship with her more than once resulting in her pregnancy; and that, out of fear of

disgrace, she committed suicide. Based on above, offence has been registered against the applicant and he was arrested on 27.05.2025.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. There is no seizure of suicide note has been made. He further submits that on 04.03.2025, on the suspicion of theft in a shop where the victim was working, she was interrogated by the Police following which she committed suicide. The applicant is in jail since 27.05.2025, out of 26 prosecution witnesses, only 6 have been examined till date and the trial is likely to take time to be finalized, therefore, it is prayed that the applicant may be enlarged on bail.
5. Per contra, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the bail application and submits that at the time of incident, the victim was minor, she became pregnant by the applicant and out of fear of disgrace, she committed suicide. Hence, looking to the nature of offence, the applicant may not be released on bail.
6. I have heard learned Counsel appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and evidence collected by the prosecution against the applicant, without commenting on merits of the case, I am not inclined to release the applicant on bail.
8. Accordingly, the bail application is **rejected**.
9. However, looking to the detention period of the applicant, the concerned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and to ensure that the trial is concluded as early as possible.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge