



2026:CGHC:12952



2026:CGHC:12952

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 2554 of 2026**

Anoop Sori S/o Late Rameshwar Sori Aged About 27 Years R/o Village Bramhni, P.S. Gariyaband, Distt. Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant**versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, P.S. Gariyaband, District – Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh.

---Non-applicant

For Applicant : Mr. Shivendu Pandya, Advocate.

For Non-applicant/State : Ms. Palak Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****18.03.2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 111/2025, registered at Police Station – Gariyaband, District – Gariyaband (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 296 and 109(1) of the BNS.
2. The case of the prosecution, is that on 05/07/2025, the complainant Biren Singh Sori father of the injured namely Ved Prakash Sori lodged the report in the Police Station Gariyaband, District Gariyaband (C.G.) to effect that on the date of incident i.e. on 04/07/2025 at about 09:30 PM, when the complainant reached the house, then he saw that the injured namely Ved Prakash Sori was sustained injury and bleeding out on which the complainant asked regarding the incident, then injured



Ved Prakash Sori said that he switched off the electricity supply of the applicant' house due to electricity bill was not paid by him, then the applicant assaulted by the way tangiya to the injured. Further, it is alleged that when switched off the electricity supply of the applicant' house, then the present accused/applicant come near the incident place and he was used filthy language and abused the injured, on hearing the sound of applicant, the injured come out side of the house, then the present accused/applicant assaulted by the way tangiya to the injured, in result this assault, the injured has sustained injury in the head. After the incident, the complainant lodged the report in the Police Station concerned against the present accused/applicant. Hence, this application.

3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case and the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. It is further submitted that the applicant is said to have assaulted and caused injuries to the injured with tangiya, and though the injured has suffered one lacerated wound on his frontal region which is a grievous injury, but the charges have been framed against the applicant who is in jail since 05.07.2025, and till date no single witness has been examined by the trial Court, and also there is no any X-ray report to show that such internal damage in cause to the injured, the trial is likely to take quite long time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail.
4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. It is further submitted that the applicant is said to have assaulted



the injured with a tangiya and caused him a lacerated wound on his frontal region, and as per the MLC report, the injuries sustained to the injured are grievous in nature, therefore, he is not entitled for grant of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and the fact that charge-sheet has been filed against the applicant, and the applicant is said to have assaulted and caused injuries to the injured with tangiya, and though the injured has suffered one lacerated wound on his frontal region which is a grievous injury, but the charges have been framed against the applicant who is in jail since 05.07.2025, and till date no single witness has been examined by the trial Court, and also there is no any X-ray report to show that such internal damage in cause to the injured, the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to allow this application.
7. Let applicant, **Anoop Sori**, involved in Crime No. 111/2025, registered at Police Station – Gariyaband, District – Gariyaband (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 296 and 109(1) of the BNS, be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in



2026:CGHC:12952

court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

**Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice**

Rajshekhar