



2026:CGHC:13040



2026:CGHC:13040

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 407 of 2026

Harihar Yadav S/o Bhaskar Barik Aged About 50 Years Caste Mahkul,
Resident Of Village House No. 34, Ward No. 03, Ramsama, Jarhatoli Karma,
District Jashpur Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Station House Officer, Police Station
Narayanpur, District Jashpur (C.G.)

... Respondent

For Applicant : Shri Manoj Chauhan, Advocate.

For : Ms. Sameeksha Gupta, PL.

Respondent/State

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order On Board

18/03/2026

- The applicant has preferred this application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS'), for grant of anticipatory bail, apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.13/2026, registered at Police Station Narayanpur, District Jashpur (C.G.) for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 190, 191, 296, 115(2), 109, 324, 331, 351 (3) of the B.N.S.



2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the complainant has lodged report to the concerned police station with intend that on date of incident i.e. 04.02.2026 at about 9.00 O'clock in the night applicant entered into complainant's house and he has damaged the construction house and thereafter, the accused persons have committed marpeet with the complainant and his family member by lathi, danda and iron rod by using filthy language, due to this injured persons received simple injuries. Based upon such report, the concerned police station has registered offence publishable under section 190, 191, 296, 115(2), 109, 324, 331, 351 (3) of the B. N. S. against the applicant and other accused persons.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that there is a dispute between the applicant and the co-accused with the complainant with respect to a land on account of which he has been falsely implicated. He would submit that the applicant is a permanent resident of address as mentioned in the cause title of this anticipatory bail application, hence there is no possibility of his absconding and he is ready to abide by all the direction and conditions which may be imposed by this Court while granting anticipatory bail, therefore he prays to enlarge the applicant on anticipatory bail.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail and submitted that five persons have been named in the FIR including the applicant along with other 10-14 persons who have assaulted the injured with deadly weapons and as per the MLC report the complainant as well as two other persons who have



intervened in the dispute have received injuries, therefore the applicant is not entitled for grant of anticipatory bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the materials available on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the parties, materials available on record, further the fact that five persons have been named in the FIR including the applicant along with other 10-14 persons who have assaulted the injured with deadly weapons and as per the MLC report the complainant as well as two other persons who have intervened in the dispute have received injuries and also considering the fact that investigation is still going on, therefore I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant.
7. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application of the applicant - **Harihar Yadav**, involved in Crime No.13/2026, registered at Police Station Narayanpur, District Jashpur (C.G.) for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 190, 191, 296, 115(2), 109, 324, 331, 351 (3) of the B.N.S. is **rejected**.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice