



2026:CGHC:943

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 2169 of 2019

1 - Ghasiram Dewangan S/o Late Shri Sitaram Dewangan Aged About 48 Years Ward No. 03, Shashipur, Chandrapur, Tahsil Dabhra, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

2 - Ramnarayan Deawangan S/o Shri Shadhram Dewangan Aged About 27 Years R/o Ward No. 10, Kostapara, Chandrapur, Tahsil Dabhra, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

3 - Tulsidas Nishad S/o Shri Motilal Nishad Aged About 28 Years R/o Ward No. 3, Kewtapara, Chandrapur, Tahsil Dabhran, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh ., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

4 - Nilambar Dewangan S/o Shri Madhoram Aged About 31 Years R/o Ward No. 07 Uraon, Mohalla, Chandrapur, Tahsil Dabhra, District Janjgir, Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioners

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Town Administration And Development Department, Mahanadi

Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, new Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - Chief Executive Officer Nagar Panchayat Chandanpur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

3 - Chandrasekhar Baghel Contractor Kharsiya, C/o Nagar Panchayat Chandrapur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioners	: Mr. H.B. Agrawal, Senior Advocate with Mrs. Swati Agrawal, Advocate
For Respondent 1/State	: Mr. Amit Buxy, Dy. G.A.
For Respondents 2 and 3	: Mr. Kabir Kalwani, Advocate

SB- Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

07.01.2026

- Petitioners herein, who are working with respondent No. 3, who is a private contractor, have preferred this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to the State Government to create posts and regularize their services.
- Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been working for a fairly long time with

respondent No. 3, who is working for Nagar Panchayat, Chandrapur, therefore, they are entitled for regularisation.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents oppose the writ petition and submit that petitioners themselves have admitted in paragraph 8.1 of the writ petition that they were working under respondent No. 3, who is a private contractor, therefore, no case is made out for their regularization.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties, considered their rival submissions made herein-above and went through the records with utmost circumspection.
5. Admittedly, as per the averment made by the petitioners in paragraph 8.1 of the writ petition, they worked under respondent No. 3, who is a private contractor and who eventually worked for Nagar Panchayat, Chandrapur, therefore, no direction can be issued to the State Government to create posts and regularize the services of the petitioners. I do not find any merit in this writ petition.
6. Accordingly, this writ petition stands dismissed in limine leaving the parties to bear their own cost(s).

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge