



2026:CGHC:13510



2026:CGHC:13510

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 1239 of 2026**

Gram Vikash Samiti Through Its President, Prabhakar Kumar Dwivedi, S/o Late Uday Pratap Dwivedi, Aged About 49 Years, Ward No. 01, Baniya Para, Distt. Balrampur Ramanujanj, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Women And Child Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - Director Directorate Of Women And Child Development, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3 - Collector District Balrampur Ramanujanj, Chhattisgarh.

4 - District Programme Officer Women And Child Development Department, Distt. Balrampur Ramanujanj, Chhattisgarh.

5 - Union Of India Through The Secretary, Ministry Of Women And Child Development, A Wing, Room No. 310 Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Mateen Siddiqui, Advocate
For Respondents 1 to 4/State	:	Mr. Sabyasachi Choubey, Govt. Advocate
For Respondent No.5	:	Mr. Ramakant Mishra, DSGI

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)**Order on Board****20.03.2026**

1. The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking following relief(s):-



2026:CGHC:13510

10.1 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to quash and set-aside the impugned communication/order dated 29/12/2025 issued by the Director, Directorate of Women and Child Development to Collector/Secretary, District Child Development and Protection Committee, of all district. [ANNEXURE P/1].

10.2 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to allow the petitioner's society to run concerned centre without any hindrances and also allow to continue the previous fundings given to the petitioner's society.

10.3 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to grant any other writ/ writs, order/ orders, relief/ reliefs in favour of the petitioners, which the Hon'ble Court deemed fit & just in the facts and circumstances of the case, including awarding of the costs to the petitioner.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw this petition with liberty to file fresh petition, if occasion arises.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection if the prayer of the petitioner is allowed.
4. On due consideration, prayer is allowed and the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty as prayed for.
5. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
6. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Judge