



2026:CGHC:14753



2026:CGHC:14753

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 1399 of 2026**

Krishna Kumar Sahu S/o Ramadhin Sahu Aged About 55 Years R/o Village- Karhi,
Tahsil- Hasoud District- Sakti (C.G.)

... Petitioner**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Secretary, Department Of Revenue And Disaster
Management, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar,naya Raipur Distt- Raipur
(C.G.)

2 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Public Works Department Mantralaya
Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur Distt- Raipur (C.G.)

3 - Collector Sakti Distt- Sakti (C.G.)

4 - Sub Divisional Officer (R) Land Acquisition Officer Sakti Sakti (C.G.)

5 - Executive Engineer Public Works Department Division Sakti Distt- Sakti (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Ms. Mamta Mahilange, Advocate
For Respondents/State	:	Mr. Sabyasachi Choubey, Govt. Advocate and Mr. Soumitra Kesharwani, Panel Lawyer

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)**Order on Board****30.3.2026**

1. By way of filing this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks issuance of a direction to respondent Nos.1 to 5 to decide the representation dated 13.01.2026 (Annexure-P/3) filed by the petitioner.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a recorded owner of land bearing Khasra No.259/5 area 0.12 acre situated at village Karhi, PHN – 10, Tahsil Haoud, Distt. Sakti (CG). He further submits that vide award dated 22.03.2017, land of the other villagers of the village Karhi were acquired for construction of Basantpur- Parsada-Ghoghari Road and compensation has also been paid to them. He further submits that for the construction of aforesaid road, land of the petitioner has also been utilized, however, it has not been mentioned in the award. Therefore, the petitioner has filed representation/application dated 13.01.2026 (Annexure-P/3) before Collector, Sakti, but the same has not been decided yet. Hence, it is prayed that respondent No.3/Collector may be directed to decide the representation/application of the petitioner within stipulated period.

3. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents/State submits that there is an inordinate delay in filing of the writ petition and the representation before the competent authority. Learned counsel further submits that if the representation/application is still pending consideration, it will be considered and appropriate orders will be passed in accordance with law.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. On due consideration of the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, nature of grievance raised by the petitioner, I find it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition directing the respondents 3 to 5 to consider and take decision on the representation/application dated 13.01.2026 (Annexure-P/3) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt/submission of a copy of this order.



6. With aforesaid observation and direction, this petition stands disposed of.
7. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge

Bini