



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 1618 of 2025

DEEPAK *versus* SMT. NIRUJEETA

Order Sheet

27/03/2025	Mr. Ashok Kumar Shukla, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Shreyansh Mehta, PL for the State on advance copy. Issue notice to the respondents. Mr. Shreyansh Mehta appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 7 to 11/State. PF need not to be paid. Issue notice to the respondents No. 1 to 6 through ordinary as well as registered mode. PF and copies within seven days. Heard on I.A. No. 01/2025, which is an

application seeking ad interim relief/stay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in constant possession of the land and house for last more than 45 years and as such he is required to be heard before passing any order. He further submits that he has moved an application for settlement of land in question in his name which is pending for consideration before the Gram Panchayat as it has not been forwarded by the Gram Panchayat to the concerned Revenue Officer. Learned counsel for the petitioner orally submits that along with house there is a Vegetable Badi and the petitioner has cultivated Vegetable in the said Badi.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the same.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, purely as an interim measure all the parties are directed to maintain *status quo* as it exists today till the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for the respondents are directed

to file reply within a period of four weeks. Thereafter, two weeks further time is granted to the petitioner to file rejoinder.

List this case thereafter.

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Raghu Jat