



2026:CGHC:12698



2026:CGHC:12698

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2518 of 2026

- Yuwraj Sahu S/o Tika Ram Sahu Aged About 30 Years R/o Ward No. 5, Village- Charabhata, Wrongly Written As Charbhada, Tehsil Gunderdehi, Distt. Balod (C.G.)

... Applicant(s)

versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Gunderdehi Distt. Balod (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)

For Applicant(s) : Mr. P.Chetan Kumar, Advocate

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Ankita Shukla, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

17/03/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.337/2025 registered at Police Station Gunderdehi, District - Balod (C.G.) under Sections 80 of BNS, 2023.
2. Case of the prosecution is in a nutshell that, deceased has committed suicide in marital house by hanging herself, when no one was present at house and allegations were leveled upon husband, father-in-law and mother-in-law that they pressurized her for dowry,



thus an FIR has been registered against the applicant and her family members.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant is that present applicant is a husband and their marriage was solemnized in the year 2024. He also submits that the cause of death was asphyxia as a result of antemortem hanging and no other antemortem injuries were found on the person of the deceased except antemortem hanging and there is no eye witness account in the present case, no dying declaration was recorded and no suicidal note was left behind by the victim. He further submits that father-in-law of the deceased namely Tika Ram Sahu has already been granted bail by this Court in MCRC No.1661 of 2026 vide order dated 19.02.2026. The applicant is in jail since 15.11.2025 and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take quite long time. Therefore, he prays for grant of regular bail to the applicant.
4. Learned counsel appearing for the State/non-applicant opposes the bail application of the applicant and also the submission advanced by learned counsel for the applicant and submits that on the basis of the doctor's opinion, the cause of death was hanging and the statements of the family and other witnesses, the deceased was found to have committed suicide by hanging herself due to demand of dowry and harassment by the present applicant and other family members. She further submits that applicant has no criminal antecedent and according to the statement of the deceased's family members, she was six months pregnant at the time of suicide, but the post-mortem report did not find any evidence of pregnancy.



5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and the fact that the cause of death was asphyxia as a result of antemortem hanging and no other antemortem injuries were found on the person of the deceased except antemortem hanging and there is no eye witness account in the present case, no dying declaration was recorded and no suicidal note was left behind by the victim and that father-in-law of the deceased namely Tika Ram Sahu has already been granted bail by this Court in MCRC No.1661 of 2026 vide order dated 19.02.2026, applicant is in jail since 15.11.2025, further the conclusion of the trial may take some more time, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case.
7. Let the applicant – **Yuwraj Sahu** involved in Crime No.337/2025 registered at Police Station Gunderdehi, District - Balod (C.G.) under Sections 80 of BNS, 2023, be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient



cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

vaishali