



2026:CGHC:13442



2026:CGHC:13442

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2631 of 2026

Kameshwar Banjare S/o Shri Aaskumar Banjare Aged About 24 Years
R/o Ring Road No. 3, Near Sbi, Barauda, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
(Correct Address Mentioned As Per Annex. A-2)

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police
Station Vidhan Sabha, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant : Mr. Raghav Tiwari, Advocate
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Jitendra Shrivastava, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Arvind Kumar Verma

Order on Board

20/03/2026

- The applicant has preferred this Second Bail Application under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 394/2025, registered



at Police Station Vidhan Sabha, District- Raipur (CG) for the offence punishable under Section 103(1), 296, 351(2) of BNS, 2023.

2. First bail application bearing MCRC No. 10342 of 2025 was dismissed as withdrawn by this Court vide its order dated 15.12.2025.
3. The prosecution story in brief, is that, the accused Kameshwar Banjare on 07.08.2025 at about 6:00 PM, at his present residence situated at Mazdoor Quarter, Ring Road No. 03, beside SBI, Village Baroda, was allegedly engaged in a quarrel with his wife. During the course of the quarrel, the sister-in-law (Bhabhi) of the accused, intervened and attempted to pacify and separate them. It is alleged that the accused started abusing her in filthy language. When the deceased objected to such abusive conduct, the accused allegedly became enraged and with an intention to kill, assaulted the deceased with a sharp-edged knife and inflicted a blow on her neck, causing serious injuries. Thereafter, based on the Dehati Nalsi, an offence was registered and investigation was taken up. The post-mortem examination of the deceased was conducted on 08.08.2025 at District Hospital, Raipur, and the post-mortem report has been obtained. The seized articles have been sent to the FSL Raipur and the report is awaited. The applicant was interrogated and his memorandum statement was recorded, pursuant to which the knife allegedly



used in the offence was seized.

4. It was argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. The applicant has not killed his sister-in-law (bhabhi) and without any basis, the police has registered the offence against the applicant. The applicant is in jail since 08.08.2025 and conclusion of the trial will take some time. Therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the applicant.
5. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and submits that all the evidences are against the applicant.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. From the case diary, it appears that the death of the deceased is homicidal and the injury inflicted on the neck is consistent with the prosecution version. The memorandum statement of the applicant has led to recovery of the weapon of offence, which prima facie connects him with the crime. The incident has occurred inside the house during a quarrel, and the role attributed to the applicant is specific and direct. The nature of the injury, the part of the body targeted, and the weapon used indicate a clear intention or knowledge on the part of the applicant, attracting serious penal consequences. The offence alleged is grave and heinous in



nature.

8. Considering the nature and gravity of the offence, the manner of its commission, the material available on record, and the prima facie involvement of the applicant, this Court is of the view that it is not a fit case for grant of bail. The possibility of influencing witnesses, who are closely related and reside in the same vicinity, also cannot be ruled out at this stage.
9. Accordingly, the bail application of applicant- **Kameshwar Banjare** involved in Crime No. 394/2025 under Section 103(1), 351(2), 296 of BNS, 2023 at Police Station- Vidhan Sabha, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh, is **rejected**.
10. However, this Court hopes and trusts that the Trial Court shall make earnest endeavour to conclude the trial expeditiously as soon as possible in accordance with law, if there is no legal impediment.
11. Office is directed to provide a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/--
(Arvind Kumar Verma)
JUDGE