

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

WPC No. 1211 of 2017

Rajesh Kumar Pandey **Versus** Union Of India & Ors.

3	
12/05/2017	Shri Raghuvansh Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Shiv Sahu, counsel for respondent No.1/Union of India. Shri Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondent No.2. Smt. Fouzia Mirza, counsel for respondent No.3/National Highway Authority of India. Heard. Learned counsel for the State submits that the land which is being used for construction of national highway was proposed to be acquired and information were sent to the National Highway Authority for publication of notification, which included the land comprised in various khasra numbers including the land of the petitioner which is comprised in kh no. 765/9 and 765/10. He submits that there were discrepancies in recording and maintaining the divided and undivided khasra number and, therefore, the khasra number on which the land of the petitioner is included was not published in the notification. He then submits that as per the demarcation recently done, the aforesaid land are comprised in part of kh. no. 765/1, 765/2 and 765/3, therefore, the petitioner would be entitled to appropriate compensation. Let a detailed return be filed by the State placing on record the aforesaid documents. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the State, to protect the interest of the petitioner and his right to receive compensation, I am not inclined to stay the construction of National Highway. List the matter after summer vacation along with WPC No.1142/2017. Sd/- (Manindra Mohan Shrivastava) Judge