



2026:CGHC:13635



2026:CGHC:13635

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2678 of 2026

1. Ram Kumar Namdeo S/o Lakhan Lal Namdeo Aged About 62 Years Retired Time Keeper, R/o Ward No. 01 Akaltara, Tahsil Akaltara, District Janjgir-Champa, (C.G.).
2. Suresh Kumar Gandherva S/o Daular Ram Aged About 61 Years Retired Time Keeper, R/o Ward No. 12, Schoolpara Misda, District Janjgir-Champa (Cg)

... **Petitioners****versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya Nava Raipur District Raipur (C.G.).
2. Engineer In Chief Water Resources Department, Shivnath Bhawan, Northe Block, Sector-19, Nava Raipur District Raipur (C.G.).
3. Chief Engineer Minimata (Hasdeo) Bango Project, Water Resources Department Bilaspur District Bilaspur (C.G.).
4. Executive Engineer Hasdev Canal Water Management Division Janjgir, District-Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).
5. District Treasury Officer Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

... **Respondents***(Cause title taken from Case Information System)*

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Hemant Kesharwani, Advocate.
For State/Respondents	:	Ms. Apurva Nigam, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order on Board

03/03/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner, who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employee is entitled for leave encashment, but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of



leave encashment to petitioner. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. Vs. State of C.G., in W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021 decided on 30.09.2022**, wherein petitioner has raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioner may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)** and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner expeditiously within specified time.

2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioner is not pressing this petition on merits and is only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner, at this stage, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioner to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioner submit representation, his claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed off with aforesaid observation and direction.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge